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## HARROW COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE
WEDNESDAY 22 JULY 2009

## AGENDA - PART I

Guidance Note for Members of the Public Attending the Planning Committee (Pages 1-2)

## 1. Attendance by Reserve Members:

To note the attendance at this meeting of any duly appointed Reserve Members.

Reserve Members may attend meetings:-
(i) to take the place of an ordinary Member for whom they are a reserve;
(ii) where the ordinary Member will be absent for the whole of the meeting; and
(iii) the meeting notes at the start of the meeting at the item 'Reserves' that the Reserve Member is or will be attending as a reserve;
(iv) if a Reserve Member whose intention to attend has been noted arrives after the commencement of the meeting, then that Reserve Member can only act as a Member from the start of the next item of business on the agenda after his/her arrival.
2. Right of Members to Speak:

To agree requests to speak from Councillors who are not Members of the Committee, in accordance with Committee Procedure 4.1.
3. Declarations of Interest:

To receive declarations of personal or prejudicial interests, arising from business to be transacted at this meeting, from:
(a) all Members of the Committee, Sub Committee, Panel or Forum;
(b) all other Members present in any part of the room or chamber.
4. Minutes: (Pages 3-14)

That the minutes of the meeting held on 24 June 2009 be taken as read and signed as a correct record.
5. Public Questions:

To receive questions (if any) from local residents/organisations under the provisions of Committee Procedure Rule 19 (Part 4B of the Constitution).
6. Petitions:

To receive petitions (if any) submitted by members of the public/Councillors.

## 7. Deputations:

To receive deputations (if any) under the provisions of Committee Procedure Rule 17 (Part 4B) of the Constitution.
8. References from Council and other Committees/Panels:

To receive references from Council and any other Committees or Panels (if any).
9. Representations on Planning Applications:

To confirm whether representations are to be received, under Committee Procedure Rule 18 (Part 4B of the Constitution), from objectors and applicants regarding planning applications on the agenda.
10. Planning Applications Received:

Report of the Head of Planning - circulated separately.
Members are reminded that, in accordance with the Planning Protocol, where Councillors disagree with the advice of the Chief Planning Officer, it will be the Members' responsibility to clearly set out the reasons for refusal where the Officer recommendation is for grant. The planning reasons for rejecting the Officer's advice must be clearly stated, whatever the recommendation and recorded in the minutes. The Officer must be given the opportunity to explain the implications of the contrary decision.
11. Planning Appeals Update: (Pages 15-44)

Report of the Head of Planning - for information.
12. Enforcement Notices Awaiting Compliance: (Pages 45-62)

Report of the Head of Planning - for information.
13. 13-17 Manor Road, Harrow: (Pages 63-70) Report of the Divisional Director of Planning.

| Enc. | 14. | $\frac{\text { INFORMATION REPORT - Urgent Non-Executive Decision: Wood Farm, }}{\text { Wood Lane, Stanmore: (Pages 71-74) }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Enc. | 15. | $\frac{\text { INFORMATION REPORT - Urgent Non-Executive Decision: Lowlands }}{\text { Report the Director of Legal and Governance Services. }}$ |
|  | Road Recreation Ground: (Pages 75-80) <br> Report of the Director of Legal and Governance Services. |  |
| 16. | Member Site Visits: <br> To arrange dates for Member site visits that have been agreed during the <br> course of the meeting (if any). |  |

17. Any Other Urgent Business:

Which cannot otherwise be dealt with.
AGENDA - PART II - NIL

This page is intentionally left blank

## GUIDANCE NOTE FOR MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ATTENDING THE PLANNING COMMITTEE

## Typical Committee Room Layout (for Committee Rooms I\&2)



## PUBLIC SEATING AREA

## Order of Committee Business

It is the usual practice for the Committee to bring forward, to the early part of the meeting, those planning applications where notice has been given that objectors wish to speak, or where members of the public have come to hear the debate.

You will find a slip of paper on your seat for you to indicate which item you have come for. This should be handed to the Committee Administrator prior to the start of the meeting.

Although the Committee will try to deal with the application which you are interested in as soon as possible, often the agendas are quite long and the Committee may want to raise questions of officers and enter into detailed discussion over particular cases. This means that you may have to wait some time. The Committee may take a short break around 8.30 pm .

## Rights of Objectors/Applicants to Speak at Planning Committees

Please note that objectors may only speak if they requested to do so before 5 pm on the working day before the meeting. In summary, where a planning application is recommended for grant by the Head of Planning, a representative of the objectors may address the Committee for up to 3 minutes. Where an objector speaks, the applicant has a right of reply.
Planning Services advises neighbouring residents and applicants of this procedure.
The Planning Committee is a formal quasi-judicial body of the Council with responsibility for determining applications, hence the need to apply rules governing the rights of public to speak. Full details of this procedure are also set out in the "Guide for Members of the Public Attending the Planning Committee" which is available in both the Planning Reception or by contacting the Committee Administrator (tel 0208424 1542). This guide also provides useful information for Members of the public wishing to present petitions, deputations or ask public questions, and the rules governing these procedures at the Planning Committee.

In addition to this agenda, an Addendum Sheet is produced on the day of the meeting. This updates the Committee on any additional information received since the formal agenda was published and also identifies any applications which have been withdrawn by applicants or which officers are recommending for deferral. Copies of the Addendum are available for the public in the Committee Room from approximately 6.00 pm onwards.

## Decisions taken by the Planning Committee

## Set out below are the types of decisions commonly taken by this Committee

## Refuse permission:

Where a proposal does not comply with the Council's (or national) policies or guidance and the proposal is considered unacceptable, the Committee may refuse planning permission. The applicant can appeal to the Secretary of State against such a decision. Where the Committee refuse permission contrary to the officer recommendation, clear reasons will be specified by the Committee at the meeting.

## Grant permission as recommended:

Where a proposal complies with the Council's (or national) policies or guidance and the proposal is considered acceptable, the Committee may grant permission. Conditions are normally imposed.

## Minded to grant permission contrary to officer's recommendation:

On occasions, the Committee may consider the proposal put before them is acceptable, notwithstanding an officer recommendation of refusal. In this event, the application will be deferred and brought back to a subsequent meeting. Renotification will be carried out to advise that the Committee is minded to grant the application.

## Defer for a site visit:

If the Committee decides that it can better consider an application after visiting the site and seeing the likely impact of a proposal for themselves, the application may be deferred until the next meeting, for an organised Member site visit to take place.

## Defer for further information/to seek amendments:

If the Committee considers that it does not have sufficent information to make a decision, or if it wishes to seek amendments to a proposal, the application may be deferred to a subsequent meeting.

## Grant permission subject to a legal agreement:

Sometimes requirements need to be attached to a planning permission which cannot be dealt with satisfactorily by conditions. The Committee therefore may grant permission subject to a legal agreement being entered into by the Council and the Applicant/Land owner to ensure these additional requirements are met.
(Important Note: This is intended to be a general guide to help the public understand the Planning Committee procedures. It is not an authoritative statement of the law. Also, the Committee may, on occasion, vary procedures).

## REPORT OF PLANNING COMMITTEE

## MEETING HELD ON 24 JUNE 2009

Chairman: * Councillor Marilyn Ashton<br>Councillors: * Husain Akhtar * Manji Kara (1)<br>* Mrinal Choudhury * Julia Merison<br>* Keith Ferry * Joyce Nickolay<br>* Thaya Idaikkadar

* Denotes Member present
(1) Denotes category of Reserve Members
[Note: Councillor Narinder Singh Mudhar also attended this meeting to speak on item 1/01 indicated at Minute 26 below].
[Note: Councillors Jean Lammiman, Mrs Kinnear, Paul Osborn, Navin Shah and Bill Stephenson, also attended this meeting].


## PART I - RECOMMENDATIONS - NIL

## PART II - MINUTES

17. Attendance by Reserve Members:

RESOLVED: To note the attendance at this meeting of the following duly appointed Reserve Members:-

| Ordinary Member | $\frac{\text { Reserve Member }}{\text { Councillor Manji Kara }}$ |
| :--- | :--- |

18. Right of Members to Speak:

It was moved and seconded that one Ward Councillor, who was not a Member of the Committee and had indicated that he wished to speak, be allowed to speak for a maximum of five minutes. This was put to the vote and carried.

RESOLVED: That Councillor Narinder Singh Mudhar, Ward Councillor, be allowed to speak for a maximum of five minutes on Planning Application 1/01 (51 College Road, Harrow).
19. Declarations of Interest:

RESOLVED: To note that the following interests were declared:

## Item 1/04 Eruv

Councillor Marilyn Ashton - prejudicial interest as a Member of Stanmore Synagogue. Accordingly, she would leave the room and take no part in the decision making process.
(Councillor Mrs Nickolay took the Chair for the consideration of this application.)

Item 2/07-4 Aylwards Rise, Stanmore
Councillor Marilyn Ashton - prejudicial interest as she had taken a personal interest as the Portfolio Holder. Accordingly, she would leave the room and take no part in the decision making process.
(Councillor Mrs Nickolay took the Chair for the consideration of this application.)

Item 2/03 - Pinner Park Middle School
Councillor Keith Ferry - personal interest as he lived and owned property nearby. He remained in the room during discussion on the item

Item 2/02 - Sacred Heart
Councillor Keith Ferry - prejudicial interest as his daughter attended the college.
Accordingly, he would leave the room and take no part in the decision making process.

## Item 1/01-51 College Road, Harrow

Councillor Eileen Kinnear - personal interest as she lived and owned property in the town centre. She remained in the room during discussion on the item
20. Minutes:

RESOLVED: That the minutes of the meetings of the Development Management Committee held on 30 April 2009 and the Planning Committee held on 13 May 2009 be taken as read and signed as correct records.
21. Public Questions:

RESOLVED: To note that no public questions were put.
22. Petitions:

RESOLVED: To note the receipt of the following petition of 3,525 signatures objecting to Planning Application 1/01-51 College Road, Harrow.
23. Deputations:

RESOLVED: To note that no deputations were received.
24. References from Council and other Committees/Panels:

RESOLVED: To note that there were no references from Council or other Committees or Panels.
25. Representations on Planning Applications:

RESOLVED: That
(1) in accordance with the provisions of Committee Procedure Rule 18 (Part 4B of the Constitution), representations be received in respect of items 1/01, 2/09 and $2 / 21$ on the list of planning applications.
(2) In accordance with Rule 27.1 the Committee agreed to suspend Rule 18 to allow all agreed speakers five minutes to express their case in respect of application 1/01 (51 College Road, Harrow).
26. Planning Applications Received:

In accordance with the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985, the Addendum was admitted late to the agenda as it contained information relating to various items on the agenda and was based on information received after the despatch of the agenda. It was admitted to the agenda in order to enable Members to consider all information relevant to the items before them for decision.

The Head of Planning submitted reports in relation to the following applications for determination.

RESOLVED: That authority be given to the Head of Planning to issue the decision notices in respect of the applications considered.

## PLANNING APPLICATIONS

## (1) 51 COLLEGE ROAD, HARROW (APPLICATION 1/01)

Reference: P/1620/08/SK - (Dandara Limited). Revised Application For Redevelopment To Provide 410 Flats In 3 Blocks Ranging Between 3 and 19 Storeys In Height; 87 Car Parking Spaces In Basement, 3 Parking Spaces At Ground Floor Level; 442 Cycle Spaces, 7 Motorcycle Spaces; 1120 Square Metres of A1, A2, A3, A4 and B1 Floor Space At Ground Floor Level; Creation Of Public Square And Pedestrian Footbridge.

Following the withdrawal of one objector in respect of their speaking rights the Committee received representations from two objectors, Navin Shah (London

Assembly Member) and Abe Hayeem; one supporter, Reverend Bob Gardiner, and the Applicant's representative, Andrew Wagstaff, which were noted.

The officer advised the Committee that full consideration of this strategic development required that they consider:

- the policy context and consideration of the principle of the development;
- consideration of the context - sensitivities and key physical considerations and potential impacts;
- suitability of the development proposed by the applicants (including consideration of comments received through consultations/ notifications /petitions); and
- the response and impacts of the development in the context of the above and consideration of any mitigation proposed having regard to all information submitted.

Insofar as securing a full understanding of the context for the development, a substantial site visit had been held encompassing a range of locations detailed on the addendum report.

The officer spoke on options for approval and refusal advising that both arguments were before Members for consideration. In response to questions raised the officer responded as follows:

- the affordable housing element fell short of development plan aspirations however, the additional policy benefits in relation to a bridge and enhancement of the transport infrastructure were viewed by the GLA and officers as representing an appropriate balance;
- should there be an insistence to achieve a threshold of $30 \%$ affordable housing this would impact on the viability of the project and if implemented could result in other key elements of the proposals not being deliverable;
- the new bridge would be funded by S106 funding but, the finer details in relation to design and form would be subject to the approval of Transport for London, who would be keen to ensure all rail safety requirements were adhered to. Delivery of the bridge project would be the responsibility of the borough council, together with ongoing maintenance;
- the assessment of daylight on new windows within the development suggested that $90 \%$ of rooms would comply with BRE deadlines. Of the remaining $10 \%$, these were rooms below the $7^{\text {th }}$ floor level but it was officers considered opinion that, although these rooms would not meet daylight levels recommended by BRE there would not be any significant shortcomings in terms of light levels;
- the nearest residential site impacted by the proposals were flats located on the College Road but, it was considered the impact was within acceptable levels;
- in terms of prematurity and consideration of the consequences of the development on the area, there were surrounding developments which had already impacted upon the character of the area;
- in referring to the School Organisation Plan 2008 it was officers considered opinion that the proposals would not exceed available capacity in terms of school places, particularly as the development was not aimed at the family market;
- the development was a high density proposal that exceeded the density levels suggested within the London Plan Density Matrix;
- the location of the three buildings to each other was 17 metres between buildings $C$ and $B$, and 12 metres between $A$ and $B$;
- the refuse area was proposed to be located in the basements of the buildings and indicated provision was deemed reasonable with approximately [ 42 commercial bins in each of 11 storage areas and 15 residential bins].

The Committee considered the proposal before them in terms of the available Development Plans, Spatial Policy, Adopted London Plan, various Planning Documents, Supplementary Planning Guidances, transport hubs and the Council's own policies. Regard was had to the representations received and material planning considerations outlined in the report.

It was moved and seconded that the application be refused by reason of by reason of excessive bulk and mass; the scale and intensity would be overbearing, visually obtrusive, detrimental to the character and appearance of the vicinity and the views in a wider context

It was then moved and seconded that the argument in relation to prematurity of the development be agreed as a reason for refusal. Upon a vote this was lost.

The initial reason for refusal, having already been proposed and seconded, was then put to the vote and was carried.

DECISION: REFUSED by reason of excessive bulk and mass, scale and intensity would be overbearing, visually obtrusive, detrimental to the character and appearance of the nearby Conservation Area, Area of Special Character and MOL and would appear over dominant in the skyline to the detriment of the long views of Harrow on the Hill by reason of competing with the primacy of the St Mary's Spire, a historic landmark and, when viewed from The Grove Open Space and within the locality, would be detrimental to the views of the Harrow Weald Ridge, contrary to HUDP policies D4, D14, D31 and EP31 and London plan policies 4B1, 4B2, 4B10, 4B11 and 4B12.

The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to REFUSE was unanimous.

## 57 - 103 COLES CRESCENT, RAYNERS LANE ESTATE, HARROW (APPLICATION 1/02)

Reference: P/0735/09/DC3/MAJ - (Mr Omoyele Thomas). Redevelopment to Provide 13 Houses Ranging From 2-3 Storeys In Height And One 4 Storey Block To Provide 8 Flats And 23 Parking Spaces.

In introducing the report the officer advised of the applicant's interest in increasing the current number of affordable housing units identified as part of the proposals should this prove possible.

DECISION: GRANTED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans.

The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to grant the application was unanimous.

SCANMOOR HOUSE, NORTHOLT ROAD, HARROW (APPLICATION 1/03)
Reference: P/0620/09/RH/MAJ - (EuroTraveller Hotel Group). Change Of Use From Office Building (B1 Use) To A Hotel (C1 Use) With 44 Rooms, Restaurant, Kitchen And Conference Facilities. Roof Extension To Provide $5^{\text {th }}$ Floor, $1^{\text {st }}$ To $5^{\text {th }}$ Floor Side Extension And $1^{\text {st }}$ Floor Rear Extension.

In introducing the report and in response to Member's questions the officer confirmed that the scheme was subject to a Section 106 funding agreement and that a maximum of 50 people could be accommodated within the Conference Centre.

DECISION: GRANTED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans subject to the completion of a legal agreement, as amended on the Addendum.

The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to grant the application was unanimous.
(4) 36 SITES AROUND STANMORE AND CANONS PARK, SEE SITE PLAN REFERENCE STAN 1000 ON WEBSITE FOR THE ERUV 9KM (APPROX) BOUNDARY (APPLICATION 1/04)

Reference: P/0405/09/DC3/ MAJ - (Mr Brian Wolkind). Construction Of Pole And Wire Gateways And Sections Of Gates/Fencing To Form An Eruv For Stanmore And Canons Park.

The Vice-Chairman took the chair for the consideration of the application.
DECISION: GRANTED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans subject to the conditions and informatives reported, as amended on the Addendum.

The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to grant the application was unanimous by those of the Committee able to vote.
(5) WHITEFRIARS, INDUSTRIAL ESTATE, TUDOR ROAD (APPLICATION 2/01)

Reference: P/0969/09/EJ/W - (Harrow College). Change Of Use From Industrial Use To Engineering Skills And Training Use (Class D1).

In introducing the report the officer advised that the scheme was a departure from the Unitary Development Plan in respect to the use of a vacant commercial premises.

DECISION: GRANTED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans.

The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to grant the application was unanimous.
(6) THE SACRED HEART LANGUAGE COLLEGE, 186 HIGH STREET, WEALDSTONE (APPLICATION 2/02)

Reference: P/0173/09/LM/C - (Harrow Council). Construction Of New Two Storey Building To Provide Sixth Form Centre; Three New Parking Spaces; Landscaping; Removal Of Existing Single Storey Classroom Building; New 2.1M High Fence.

The Officer introduced the report noting that this matter had been deferred from the May Committee and that a site visit had been carried out prior to the Committee. The Committee expressed its concern at the proposed vehicle access across a pedestrian access and noted the applicant was Harrow Council.

In considering the application the Committee agreed that Condition 14 (alternative parking) should be deleted as it was inappropriate.

DECISION: GRANTED permission under Regulation 3 of the Town and Country Planning General Regulations 1992 for the development described in the application and submitted plans as amended on the Addendum, subject to the deletion of Condition 14.

The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to grant the application was unanimous by those of the Committee able to vote.

PINNER PARK MIDDLE SCHOOL, MELBOURNE AVENUE, PINNER
(APPLICATION 2/03)
Reference: P/0596/09/GL/C - (Harrow Council), Construction Of 2 Storey Building To House ICT And Music Departments And Erection Of Link Building To Existing Structure; New External Staircase; New Fence.

DECISION: GRANTED permission under Regulation 3 of the Town and Country Planning General Regulations 1992 for the development described in the application and submitted plans.

157 - 159 VILLAGE WAY, PINNER (APPLICATION 2/06)
Reference: P/0014/09/SB5/W - (RNB Properties). Retention Of Single And Two Storey Building With Accommodation In Roof And Conversion Into Three Terraced Dwellinghouses; Proposed Vehicle Access To Village Way; Retention Of Detached Double Garage At The Rear With Proposed Access To Cannon Lane.

The Planning Officer referred to the addendum and the additional comments now received from the Highways Engineer and in response to questions raised by the Committee advised that:

- one of the proposed properties was unlikely to have an identified parking space;
- the concern with regard to the garage needed to be considered in the context of the three properties proposed;
- the concerns regarding the size of the proposed kitchen must meet the test of "reasonable".

DECISION: REFUSED for the reason that the detached double garage at the rear, by reason of its unacceptable siting, cannot be easily accessed by vehicles and therefore, the provision for off-street parking on site is insufficient and unsatisfactory for the dwelling houses as built, and as such the development comprising 3 family homes would give rise to conditions prejudicial to the free flow of traffic and highway safety in Village Way and Cannon Lane, contrary to policies D4 and T13 of the HUDP 2004.

The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to refuse the application was unanimous with regard to those Members who exercised their right to vote.

## GARAGES REAR OF 9 - 11 NOWER HILL, PINNER (APPLICATION 2/07)

Reference: P/0348/09/SB5/W - (DKA Investments Ltd and SCSC Developments Ltd). Conservation Area Consent: Demolition Of Garages To Rear Of 9-11 Nower Hill.

DECISION: GRANTED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans.

The Committee wished it tn ho rocorded that the voting was as follows:

Councillors Husain Akhtar, Marilyn Ashton, Mrinal Choudhury, Manji Kara, Julia Merison and Joyce Nickolay as having supported the grant,

Councillor Thaya Idaikkadar abstained.

```
LAND TO THE REAR OF 9-15 NOWER HILL, PINNER (APPLICATION
2/08)
```

Reference: P/0349/09/SB5/W - (DKA Investments Ltd and SCSC Developments Ltd). Pair Of Semi Detached Houses With Habitable Roofspace; Two Garages And Hardstanding At Rear With Widened Vehicle Access From The Chase.

DECISION: GRANTED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans.

The Committee wished it to be recorded that the voting was as follows:
Councillors Husain Akhtar, Marilyn Ashton, Mrinal Choudhury, Manji Kara, Julia Merison and Joyce Nickolay as having supported the grant,

Councillor Thaya Idaikkadar abstained.
HERIOTS, THE COMMON, STANMORE (APPLICATION 2/09)
Reference: P/4085/08/ML1/W - (Mr and Mrs L. Portnoi). Detached Stable Block And Manege For Domestic Use (Revised).

The Committee received representations from one objector, Mr Anton Felter, and the Applicant, Pauline Portnoi, which were noted.

The officer spoke on the proposals in relation to the development and noted that the site had been subject to a site visit by the Committee. She responded to questions from Members as follows:

- an ecological survey had been undertaken with respect to the proposals and that no potential impact due to horse waste on the site had been raised as part of this;
- proposed Condition 6 would address any outstanding concerns regarding disposal of waste.

DECISION: GRANTED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans.

The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to grant was unanimous.
(14) HARROW ARTS CENTRE, UXBRIDGE ROAD, HATCH END (APPLICATION 2/10)

Reference: P/0918/09/GL/C - (Harrow Council). Demolition Of Existing Storage Shed And Erection Of New Brick Clad Modular Building To Provide Multipurpose Community Learning And Events Facility.

DECISION: DEFERRED for further consideration by officers in relation to plans.
(15) HARROW ARTS CENTRE, UXBRIDGE ROAD, HATCH END (APPLICATION 2/11)

Reference: P/0922/09/LC3/CONS - (Harrow Council). Listed Building Consent: Demolition Of Existing Storage Shed And Erection Of New Brick Clad Modular Building To Provide Multipurpose Community Learning And Events Facility Within Curtilage of Elliott Hall.

DECISION: DEFERRED for further consideration by officers in relation to plans.
(16) NORTH LONDON COLLEGIATE SCHOOL, CANONS DRIVE, EDGWARE (APPLICATION 2/12)

Reference: P/0633/09/FOD/E - (NLCS The Governors). Single Storey Detached Pavilion Adjacent To Sports Pitches.

DECISION: GRANTED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans.

The Committee wished it to be recorded that the voting was as follows:
Councillors Husain Akhtar, Marilyn Ashton, Mrinal Choudhury, Manji Kara, Julia Merison and Joyce Nickolay as having supported the grant,

Councillor Thaya Idaikkadar voted against..

## 7 - 9 STANMORE HILL, STANMORE (APPLICATION 2/13)

Reference: P/0850/09/FOD/E - (Mr Jasen Quake). Change Of Use Of First Floor From Offices To Restaurant (Class B1 to A3) For Use In Association With Ground Floor Restaurant.

RESOLVED: That the Licensing \& General Purposes Committee be advised of this Committee's concerns with regard to the public house activities.

## DECISION:

(1) GRANTED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans subject to the conditions and informatives reported, as amended on the Addendum.
(2) That the final negotiations in relation to the conditions to be applied to the application and their wording be delegated to the Head of Planning.

The Committee wished it to be recorded that the voting was as follows:
Councillors Husain Akhtar, Marilyn Ashton, Thaya Idaikkadar, Manji Kara, Julia Merison and Joyce Nickolay as having supported the grant,

Councillor Mrinal Choudhury abstained.
(18) 44 JELLICOE GARDENS, STANMORE (APPLICATION 2/14)

Reference: P/0490/09/NRE - (Mr Rohit Shah). Retention Of Single Storey Detached Outbuilding At Rear, With Alterations To Remove Window In The West Elevation.

## DECISION:

(1) GRANTED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans subject to the conditions and informatives reported, as amended on the Addendum.
(2) That the final negotiations in relation to the conditions to be applied to the application and their wording be delegated to the Head of Planning.

The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to grant the application was unanimous with regard to those Members who exercised their right to vote.
(19) LAND ADJACENT TO HIGHFIELD, HILL HOUSE AVENUE, STANMORE (APPLICATION 2/15)

Reference: P/0711/09/NR/E - (Mr and Mrs David Limerick). Detached Two Storey House.

DECISION: GRANTED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans subject to the additional representations reported, as amended on the Addendum.

The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to grant the application was unanimous with regard to those Members who exercised their right to vote.

ELM PARK, STANMORE (APPLICATION 2/16).
Reference: P/0281/09/NR/E - (Dr Ralph Abrahams). Variation Of Condition 7 Of Planning Permission LBH/36494 To Allow The Number Of General Practitioners, Qualified Medical Advisors And Nursing Staff Seeing And Consulting With Patients Within The Surgery At Any One Time To Be Limited To Three.

DECISION: GRANTED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans.

The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to grant the application was unanimous with regard to those Members who exercised their right to vote.

## MARLBOROUGH FIRST AND MIDDLE SCHOOL, MARLBOROUGH HILL, HARROW, HA1 1UJ (APPLICATION 2/17)

Reference: P/1029/09/SL/MAJ - (Harrow Council). 2 No. X Single Storey Temporary Classroom Units, Temporary Mobile WC, Temporary Car Park And Crossover, External Alterations To Existing School Buildings And Reconstruction Of Raised Play Area.

DECISION: GRANTED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans.

The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to grant the application was unanimous with regard to those Members who exercised their right to vote.
(22) LAND ADJACENT TO LINKSWAY, MAY TREE LANE, STANMORE (APPLICATION 2/18)

Reference: P/0617/09/GC/E - (Shield Homes Limited). Single/Two Storey Detached House With Access To Woodward Gardens (Revised) With Details Of External Surface Materials, Boundary Treatment, Ground Surfacing And Landscaping.

DECISION: GRANTED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans.

The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to grant the application was unanimous with regard to those Members who exercised their right to vote.

72 LAKE VIEW, EDGWARE (APPLICATION 2/19)
Reference: P/0654/09/GC/E - (Mr Dhirajlal Shah). Single/Two Storey Side, Single Storey Rear And Basement Extensions; Conversion Of Garage To Habitable Room; External Alterations To Rear Patio.

DECISION: DEFERRED for a Member Site Visit.

## 6 VERNON DRIVE, STANMORE (APPLICATION 2/20)

Reference: P/0732/09/FOD/E - (Mr Dennis Bannister). Single/Two Storey Side Extension, Conversion Of Extended Dwellinghouse To Two Dwellinghouses, External Alterations Including The Addition Of A Bay Window On The Front Elevation And New Vehicular Access (Revised).

DECISION: GRANTED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans.

The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to grant the application was unanimous with regard to those Members who exercised their right to vote.

## 4 AYLWARDS RISE, STANMORE, HA7 3EH (APPLICATION 2/21)

Reference: P/4010/08/ML1/ W - (Dr Abhay Shah). Details Pursuant to: Condition 1(i-v) For (i) The Colour Of The Walls Of The House And Garage; (ii) The Colour And Make Of The Clay Roof Tiles Of The House And Garage; (iii) The Window Cills And Facings; (iv) The Ground Surfacing Material; (v) The Boundary Treatment; And Condition 9(i) For A Timetable Of The Works Required By Conditions 2 And 3 Of Planning Permission APP/M5450/C/07/2053532, Granted 24 April 2008.

The Vice-Chairman took the chair for the consideration of the application.
The Committee received representations from one objector's representative, Mr Alan Gunne Jones, which was noted.

The officer referred to the previous Planning Inspector decision upon the site noting that as part of this permission an incorrect plan had been referred to. In terms of correcting of this error a further planning application was required to be submitted to vary the agreement and this had resulted in the proposal of a generous timescale in relation to the timetable of improvement works. He further advised that an amended proposal had been received which suggested materials and colours more consistent with the character of the street and area. He then answered Members queries on aspects of the development proposals as follows:

- the proposed 8 months period would allow for the opportunity of the planning process to be gone through, as long as the applicant acted promptly in submitting an appropriate application;
- it was not possible to place a condition upon an agreed Condition;
- he had not dealt with the application under his delegated authority as he had deemed the matter to be of such a nature that it should be considered by the Planning Committee.

DECISION: GRANTED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans subject to the further representations received and the additional informatives reported, as amended on the Addendum.

The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to grant the application was unanimous by those of the Committee able to vote.

## ROXBOURNE MIDDLE SCHOOL, TORBAY ROAD, HARROW (APPLICATION 2/22)

Reference: P/1119/09/SM/W - (Harrow Council). Two Storey Infill Rear Extension To Main School Building (Block A) Comprising Six Replacement Classrooms And Associated Works/Facilities (Including Air Handling Unit And Ductwork On Roof).

DECISION: GRANTED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans subject to the conditions and informatives reported, as amended on the Addendum.

The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to grant the application was unanimous with regard to those Members who exercised their right to vote.

## 10 OXFORD ROAD, HARROW (APPLICATION 2/23)

Reference: P/2888/08/ML1 - (Mr James McHugh). Conversion To Two Flats; Single And Two Storey Rear Extension; Parking At Rear.

DECISION: DEFERRED for a Member Site Visit.

## (28) LAND OUTSIDE LEEFE ROBINSON P.H, UXBRIDGE ROAD, STANMORE (APPLICATION 5/01)

Reference: P/1028/09/GL/C - (Telefonica O2 UK Ltd). Replacement Of 12m High Telecommunications Monopole Incorporating 3No. Shrouded Antennas With A 12.5 m High Telecommunications Monopole Of Increased Diameter Incorporating 3No. Shrouded Antennas; One Additional Equipment Cabinet And Associated Development.

During the consideration of the application the Chairman advised that the Enforcement Officer would attend the next meeting of the Committee, due the extent of business to be considered at this meeting.

DECISION: GRANTED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans.

The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to grant the application was unanimous with regard to those Members who exercised their right to vote.
27. Planning Appeals Update:

The Committee received a report from the Head of Planning which listed those appeals being dealt with and those awaiting decision.

RESOLVED: That the report be noted.
28. Enforcement Notices Awaiting Compliance:

RESOLVED: That the report be noted.
29. Stopping Up of the Highway - Footpath Linking Greenhill Way and Greenhill Road, Harrow:
The Committee received a report of the Divisional Director of Planning Services which sought a stop up of a footpath linking Greenhill Way and Greenhill Road, to enable a development to be carried out in accordance with Planning Permission granted.

RESOLVED: That
(1) the Director of Legal and Governance Services be authorised to take the necessary steps to commence the process of stopping up of the areas of highway shown on the plan to the report at Appendix 1, as required under Section 247 and 252 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990;
(2) any objections made to the proposed order to stop up the highways, which were not withdrawn within the prescribed period, be referred to the Mayor of London for determination as to whether or not a public inquiry should be held in accordance with Section 252 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990;
(3) officers be authorised to proceed with the making of the order without further reference to the Committee if there are no objections to the proposed order or if the Mayor of London decides that a public inquiry is unnecessary.
30. Stopping Up of the Highway - Rayners Lane Estate, Goldsmith Close:

The Committee received a report of the Divisional Director of Planning Services which sought a stop up a section of Goldsmith Close, to enable a development to be carried out in accordance with Planning Permission granted.

RESOLVED: That
(1) the Director of Legal and Governance Services be authorised to take the necessary steps to commence the process of stopping up of the areas of highway shown on the plan to the report at Appendix 1, as required under Section 247 and 252 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990;
(2) any objections made to the proposed order to stop up the highways, which were not withdrawn within the prescribed period, be referred to the Mayor of London for determination as to whether or not a public inquiry should be held in accordance with Section 252 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990;
officers be authorised to proceed with the making of the order without further reference to the Committee if there are no objections to the proposed order or if the Mayor of London decides that a public inquiry is unnecessary.
31. Land at Gayton Road, Harrow:

The Committee received a report of the Director of Legal and Governance Services which sought an extension of time to complete a Section 106 agreement relating to Gayton Road, Harrow and approval of a change to the provision of affordable housing.

RESOLVED: That
(1) the time for completion of the Agreement be extended by two calendar months from the date of this meeting;
(2) a change in the provision of affordable housing to enable a cascade mechanism to be used to vary the mix of affordable housing type be agreed.
32. Member Site Visits:

RESOLVED: That Member Site Visits take place on Friday 17 July at 6.00 pm to the following sites:

- 72 Lakeview
- 10 Oxford Road

33. Extension of the Meeting:

In accordance with the provisions of Committee Procedure Rule 15 (Part 4B of the Constitution) at 9.59 pm a proposal to extend the length of the meeting to enable all business to be heard was moved. During the moving of the proposal Councillor Ferry expressed his concern with regard to the timing of the resolution.

RESOLVED: That at 9.59 pm a proposal was moved and agreed prior to 10.01 pm that the Committee continue till the end of business on the agenda.
(Note: The meeting, having commenced at 6.30 pm , closed at 10.42 pm ).

| WRITTEN REPRESENTATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Appellant/Appeal Location/Ward | LPA Ref: PINS Ref: Planner | Appeal Description | Questionnaire Due/Sent | Statement Due/Sent | Final Comments Due/Sent | Site visit Date/Time | Notes |
| Mr Jayman Badiani 2 Station Road North Harrow Middlesex HA2 6AF <br> Ward: Headstone South | $\begin{gathered} \text { P/3865/08 } \\ \text { /4018 } \\ \text { APP/M5450/A } \\ \text { /09/2107463 } \\ \text { LMc } \end{gathered}$ | CONVERSION OF DWELLINGHOUSE INTO TWO DWELLINGHOUSES | Due 10.07.09 | Due 07.08.09 |  |  |  |
| Mr Anup Vyas 2 Junction Road Harrow HA1 1NL <br> Ward: Greenhill | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{P} / 4002 / 08 \\ / 4020 \end{gathered}$ <br> APP/M5450/A/ <br> 09/21076010 <br> GL | REDEVELOPMENT TO PROVIDE SIX-STOREY BUILDING TO PROVIDE 172 SQ.M. OF OFFICE SPACE AT GROUND AND FIRST FLOORS; EIGHT FLATS ON FOUR UPPER FLOORS WITH glazed balconies; ROOF TERRACE; DEMOLITION OF EXISTING TWO-STOREY BUILDING (RESIDENT PERMIT RESTRICTED) | Due 15.07.09 | Due 12.08.09 |  |  |  |
| Dr Manek Patel 10 Georgian Way Harrow Middlesex HA1 3LF <br> Ward; Harrow on the Hill | $\begin{gathered} \text { P/0509/09 } \\ \hline / 4021 \end{gathered}$ <br> APP/M5450/ A/09/2107972 <br> SB | NEW RAILINGS, WALL AND GATES TO FRONT BOUNDARY AND BRICK WALLS TO SIDE boundaries | Due 21.07.09 | Due 18.08.09 |  |  |  |

CURRENT APPEALS

| HEARINGS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Appellant/Appeal Location/Ward | LPA Ref: PINS Ref: Planner | Appeal Description | Questionnaire Due/Sent | Statement Due/Sent | Hearing Date | Venue | Notes |
| W E Black LTD <br> 33-34 Pinner Park <br> Gardens <br> Harrow <br> Middlesex <br> Ward: Headstone North | $\begin{gathered} \text { P/0264/09 } \\ / 4003 \end{gathered}$ <br> APP/M5450/A /09/2105077 <br> GL | DEMOLITION OF 33-34 PINNER PARK GARDENS AND CONSTRUCTION OF THREE PAIRS OF SEMIDETACHED HOUSES; FORMATION OF ACCESS ROAD; PARKING AND GARAGES | Due 16.06.09 | Due 14.07.09 | tba |  |  |
| Mr James McConnell Raebarn House 100 Northolt Road Harrow HA2 OYJ <br> Ward: Roxbourne | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{P} / 0221 / 09 \\ / 4010 \end{gathered}$ <br> APP/M5450/A /09/2105312 SB | CHANGE OF USE OF 460 SQUARE METRES OF FIRST FLOOR OFFICE FLOOR SPACE TO EIGHT FLATS | Due 19.06.09 | Due 17.07.09 | tba |  |  |

CURRENT APPEALS

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Appellant/Appeal | LPA Ref: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Location/Ward | PINS Ref: | Appeal Description | Questionnaire | Rule 6 |  |  |  |

APPEALS AWAITING DECISION

| WRITTEN REPRESENTATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Appellant/Appeal Location/Ward | LPA Ref: PINS Ref: Planner | Appeal Description | Questionnaire Sent | Statement Due/Sent | Final Comments Sent? | Site visit Date/Time | Notes |
| M H Vanarkadie 4 Eastcote Lane South Harrow HA2 8BP <br> (Ward: Roxbourne) | $\begin{gathered} \text { P/0372/08 } \\ \text { /3921 } \\ \text { APP/M5450/X } \\ \text { /2087261 } \end{gathered}$ | CERTIFICATE: USE OF PART OF THE GROUND FLOOR OF THE HOUSE AS A SOLICITORS OFFICE | Sent 21.11.08 | N/A - <br> Questionnaire forms case. |  |  |  |
| Mrs Neeta Gokal 23 Jellicoe Gardens Stanmore Middlesex (Ward: Stanmore Park) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{P} / 2848 / 08 \\ / 3927 \end{gathered}$ <br> APP/M5450/A /08/2089908 NR | SINGLE STOREY REAR EXTENSION (REVISED) | Sent 09.12.08 | N/A - <br> Questionnaire forms case. |  |  |  |
| Miss N \& S Arian 29 Carlton Avenue Harrow HA3 8AX <br> (Ward: Kenton West) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{P} / 3153 / 08 \\ / 3935 \end{gathered}$ <br> APP/M5450/A <br> /08/2092766 <br> TEM | RETENTION OF CONVERSION OF dWELLINGHOUSE INTO TWO FLATS AND PROPOSED SINGLE STOREY REAR EXTENSION AND DEMOLITION OF SIDE GARAGE | Sent 20.01.09 | Sent 20.01.09 <br> (Q) |  |  |  |

APPEALS AWAITING DECISION

| Ballards Mews High Street Edgware | APP/M5450/C /09/2095091 <br> ENF/0095/07 /P/3947 SSB | WITHOUT PLANNING PERMISSION, THE INSTALLATION OF TWO EXTRACT FLUES IN THE ROOF OF THE WORKSHOP BUILDING SITUATE ON THE LAND | Sent 11.02.09 | N/A - <br> Questionnaire forms case. | TBA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mrs N Siddiqui 85 Greenway Pinner HA5 3SN <br> (Ward: Pinner) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { APP/M5450/A/ } \\ \text { 09/2096075 } \\ \text { P/2432/08 } \\ \text { /3949 } \\ \text { HG } \end{gathered}$ | RETENTION OF SINGLE STOREY SIDE TO REAR EXTENSION | Sent 11.02.09 | N/A - <br> Questionnaire forms case. | TBA |
| Mr Mohammed Rafeek Zubair 52 Adderley Road Harrow Middlesex HA3 7HT | APP/M5450/C /2092986 ENF/0293/07 /P/3952 SSB | WITHOUT PLANNING PERMISSION, THE ERECTION OF A SINGLE STOREY CONSERVATORY STYLE EXTENSION ON THE LAND | Sent 23.02.09 | N/A - <br> Questionnaire forms case. | TBA |
| Mr G.C Williams 76 Eastern Avenue Pinner Middlesex HA5 1NJ (Ward: Pinner South) | APP/M5450/A /09/2096052 <br> P/3426/08 /3958 MT | SINGLE AND TWO STOREY SIDE TO SINGLE STOREY REAR EXTENSION | Sent 02.03.09 | N/A - <br> Questionnaire forms case. | TBA |
| Mr Phillip Scalzo 110 College Hill Road Harrow Weald HA3 7DA <br> Ward: Harrow Weald | $\begin{gathered} \text { P/2919/08/ } \\ 3967 \end{gathered}$ | RETENTION OF REAR PATIO AND BOUNDARY FENCE | 20/03/2009 | N/A - <br> Questionnaire forms case. | TBA |

APPEALS AWAITING DECISION

| Mr H Carolan 1 Talbot Road Harrow HA3 7QQ <br> Ward: Marlborough | $\begin{gathered} \text { P/2111/08/ } \\ 3968 \end{gathered}$ | CONVERSION OF DWELLINGHOUSE INTO TWO FLATS; TWO STOREY SIDE EXTENSION; PART SINGLE PART TWO STOREY REAR EXTENSION; SINGLE STOREY FRONT EXTENSION | 24/03/2009 | N/A - <br> Questionnaire forms case. | TBA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mr Rohit Shah 44 Jellicoe Gardens Stanmore HA7 3NS <br> Ward: Stanmore Park | $\begin{gathered} \text { P/3947/08 } \\ 3973 \end{gathered}$ | FIRST FLOOR REAR EXTENSION | 02/04/2009 | N/A - <br> Questionnaire forms case. | TBA |  |
| Mr Stephen Foster 7 Rose Garden Close Edgware HA8 7RF <br> Ward: Canons | $\begin{gathered} \text { P/3340/08/ } \\ 3977 \end{gathered}$ | SINGLE / TWO STOREY SIDE TO REAR AND FIRST FLOOR REAR EXTENSIONS; FRONT AND REAR DORMER; CONVERSION OF GARAGE INTO HABITABLE ROOM WITH ALTERATIONS TO FRONT ELEVATION INCLUDING CANOPY | 08/04/2009 | N/A - <br> Questionnaire forms case. | TBA |  |
| Mr \& Mrs M A Siddiqui 85 Greenway Pinner HA5 3SN <br> Ward: Pinner | $\begin{gathered} \text { ENF/0228/08/ } \\ \text { P/3978 } \end{gathered}$ | UNAUTHORISED ERECTION OF AN OPEN-ENDED CANOPY/ROOF PROJECTION FORMING PART OF A SINGLE STOREY SIDE TO REAR EXTENSION | 10/04/2009 | N/A - <br> Questionnaire forms case. | TBA |  |

APPEALS AWAITING DECISION

| Mr Ken Popat 33 Dennis Lane Stanmore HA7 4JS <br> Ward: Stanmore Park | $\begin{gathered} \text { P/3261/08/ } \\ 3979 \end{gathered}$ | DEMOLITION OF EXISTING DWELLINGHOUSE, CONSTRUCTION OF REPLACEMENT TWO/THREE STOREY DWELLINGHOUSE WITH PART BASEMENT, INTEGRAL GARAGE AND SINGLE STOREY REAR PROJECTION | 17/04/2009 | N/A - <br> Questionnaire forms case. | TBA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mrs Stella Baum 11 Wychwood Avenue Edgware HA8 6TL <br> Ward: Canons | $\begin{gathered} \text { P/2618/08/ } \\ 3980 \end{gathered}$ | SINGLE STOREY FRONT EXTENSION AND CONVERSION OF GARAGE TO HABITABLE ROOM | 20/04/2009 | N/A - <br> Questionnaire forms case. | TBA |  |
| Mr David Levey Heriots Wood The Common Stanmore Middlesex <br> Ward: Stanmore Park | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{P} / 2684 / 08 \\ / 3983 \end{gathered}$ <br> APP/M5450/A /09/2100542 <br> ML | RETENTION OF 25M HIGH <br> AERIAL MAST AND <br> HORIZONTAL BEAM ANTENNA | Sent 08.05.09 | N/A - <br> Questionnaire forms case. |  |  |
| Mr K Sabaratnam Botwell Court 118 Headstone Road Harrow Middlesex <br> Ward: Greenhill | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{P} / 3845 / 08 \\ / 3984 \end{gathered}$ <br> APP/M5450/A /09/2102716 GL | PROVISION OF TWO FLATS WITHIN MANSARD ROOF SPACE TOGETHER WITH ROOF LIGHTS TO FRONT AND REAR ROOFSLOPES | Sent 07.05.09 | Sent 02.06.09 |  |  |

APPEALS AWAITING DECISION

APPEALS AWAITING DECISION

APPEALS AWAITING DECISION

| Mr K Shah The White House Hillside Road Pinner HA5 3YJ <br> Ward: Pinner | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{P} / 0241 / 09 \\ / 4002 \end{gathered}$ <br> APP/M5450/A /09/2105191 SB | SINGLE AND TWO STOREY FRONT AND REAR EXTENSIONS; SINGLE STOREY SIDE EXTENSION; EXTERNAL ALTERATIONS; DEMOLITION OF TWO STOREY REAR PROJECTION, AND ATTACHED GARAGE WITH OUTBUILDING | Sent 04.06.09 | N/A - <br> Householder Fastrack Pilot |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mr R Verma 5 Cuckoo Hill Drive Pinner HA5 3PG <br> Ward: Pinner South | P/0270/09 /4004 APP/M5450/A/ 09/2105596 HG | TWO STOREY FRONT EXTENSION; TWO FRONT DORMER WINDOWS; EXTERNAL ALTERATIONS | Sent 10.06.09 | N/A - <br> Householder Fastrack Pilot |  |  |
| Mr Mukesh 110 Aldridge Avenue Stanmore HA7 1DD <br> Ward: Queensbury | P/3194/08 /3999 <br> APP/M5450/A /09/2104713 East | SINGLEITWO STOREY REAR EXTENSION | Sent 10.06.09 | N/A - <br> Questionnaire forms case |  |  |
| Dr R De Silva <br> Flat 3 <br> 96 Elmsleigh Avenue Harrow <br> HA3 8HZ <br> Ward: Kenton West | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{P} / 0442 / 09 \\ / 4000 \end{gathered}$ <br> APP/M5450/A /09/2105016 <br> ML | REAR DORMER AND ROOFLIGHT IN THE FRONT ROOFSLOPE (REVISED) | Sent 11.06.09 | N/A - <br> Questionnaire forms case |  |  |

APPEALS AWAITING DECISION

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

APPEALS AWAITING DECISION

APPEALS AWAITING DECISION

APPEALS AWAITING DECISION

APPEALS AWAITING DECISION

| HEARINGS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mr Anoop Unadkat 50 Wychwood Avenue Edgware HA8 6TH <br> Ward: Canons | $\begin{gathered} \text { P/2488/08/ } \\ 3970 \end{gathered}$ | DETACHED THREE STOREY DWELLINGHOUSE WITH BASEMENT | Sent 01.04.09 | Sent 29.04.09 | 01.07.09 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Committee } \\ \text { Rm } 6 \end{gathered}$ |  |

APPEALS AWAITING DECISION

APPEALS DECISIONS APR-JUN 2009

| Appellant/Appeal Location/Procedure | LPA Ref: PINS Ref: Planner | Appeal Description | Ward | Decision | Date | Costs | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mr M B Janani 57 Oxford Road Harrow HA1 4JH | $\begin{gathered} \text { P/3923/07/ } \\ 3861 \\ \text { APP/M5450/A } \\ 08 / 2080350 \end{gathered}$ | CONVERSION OF DWELLINGHOUSE INTO TWO SELF CONTAINED RESIDENTIAL UNITS; SINGLE STOREY REAR EXTENSION. | Headstone South | Dismissed | 15.04.09 |  |  |
| Mr V Neil <br> 7 Veldene Way Harrow HA2 9BH | P/1629/08/ 3884 APP/M5450/A/ $08 / 2084385$ SB | SINGLE STOREY FRONT, SIDE AND REAR EXTENSION TO FORM NEW DWELLING; ENLARGED VEHICLE ACCESS; SINGLE STOREY REAR EXTENSION TO EXISTING DWELLING | Roxbourne | Dismissed | 22.04.09 |  |  |
| NVSM <br> 73 Hindes Road Harrow HA1 1SL | $\begin{gathered} \text { P/2469/08 } \\ \text { /3895 } \\ \text { APP/M5450/A } \\ \text { /08/2086457 } \\ \text { JB } \end{gathered}$ | CONVERSION OF DWELLINGHOUSE INTO THREE SELF-CONTAINED FLATS; SINGLE-STOREY REAR EXTENSION; ROOF EXTENSION COMPRISING HIP TO GABLE AND REAR DORMER; EXTERNAL ALTERATIONS (RESIDENT PERMIT RESTRICTED) | Greenhill | Allowed | 27.04.09 |  |  |
| Ms M Toussaint 20 Lynwood Close Rayners Lane Middlesex HA2 9PR | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{P} / 2404 / 08 \\ / 3906 \end{gathered}$ <br> APP/M5450/A /08/2087957 <br> EJ | SINGLE STOREY SIDE \& REAR EXTENSION | Rayners Lane | Allowed | 15.04.09 |  |  |

APPEALS DECISIONS APR-JUN 2009

| Neville \& Angela <br> Pereira <br> 3 Green Lane Cottages <br> Green Lane <br> Stanmore <br> Middlesex | $\begin{gathered} \text { ENF/0263/07 } \\ \text { /P/3917 } \\ \text { APP/M5450/C } \\ \text { /08/2087162 } \end{gathered}$ | THE REPLACEMENT OF TWO TIMBER FRAMED HORN STYLE SASH WINDOWS IN THE FRONT ELEVATION OF THE DWELLING SITUATE ON THE LAND WITH TWO UPVC CASEMENT WINDOWS | Stanmore Park | Dismissed | 03.04.09 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Wood Homes (Stanmore) LTD 183 Whitchurch Lane Edgware Middlesex HA8 6QT | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{P} / 1618 / 08 \\ / 3909 \end{gathered}$ <br> APP/M5450/A /08/2087396 <br> NR | SINGLE STOREY FRONT, SIDE AND REAR, TWO STOREY/ FIRST FLOOR SIDE EXTENSIONS, REAR DORMERS, CONVERSION TO FOUR FLATS WITH EXTERNAL ALTERATIONS | Canons | Dismissed | 15.04.09 |  |  |
| Sterling Estates 69 Spencer Road Harrow Middlesex HA3 7AN | $\begin{gathered} \text { P/2239/08 } \\ \text { /3920 } \end{gathered}$ <br> APP/M5450/A /08/2085969 <br> KR | CONVERSION OF DWELLINGHOUSE INTO THREE SELF-CONTAINED FLATS; SINGLE-STOREY SIDE EXTENSION; EXTERNAL ALTERATIONS (RESIDENT PERMIT RESTRICTED) | Wealdstone | Allowed | 24.04.09 | partial cost awarded to appellant. |  |
| Mr P Patel <br> 1 Hillfield Close <br> Harrow <br> HA2 6AZ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{P} / 0559 / 08 \\ / 3896 \end{gathered}$ <br> APP/M5450/A /08/2086735 <br> GL | SINGLE STOREY SIDE TO REAR EXTENSION | Headstone South | Allowed | 06.04.09 |  |  |

APPEALS DECISIONS APR-JUN 2009

APPEALS DECISIONS APR-JUN 2009

APPEALS DECISIONS APR-JUN 2009

APPEALS DECISIONS APR-JUN 2009

| Mr Michael Potts <br> Land at rear of Laurel <br> Cottage <br> Church Lane <br> Pinner <br> HA5 3AB | APP/M5450/A /09/2095000 <br> P/2724/08 /3948 SB | OUTLINE APPLICATION: (ALL MATTERS RESERVED) SINGLE AND TWO STOREY DETACHED DWELLING HOUSE WITH ACCOMMODATION IN ROOFSPACE; INTEGRAL GARAGE AND OFF STREET PARKING | Pinner | Allowed | 05.06.09 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Harrow School Harrow School Porlock Avenue Harrow | APP/M5450/A /09/2096497 <br> P/3416/08 /3950 SMc | REPLACEMENT OF EXISTING 1.4 m HIGH TIMBER BOUNDARY FENCE WITH PROPOSED 1.95m HIGH BLACK METAL PALISADE FENCE ALONG PORLOCK AVENUE | Harrow on the Hill | Allowed | 19.05 .09 |  |  |
| Ms Marcia Clarke 24 Wood End Road Harrow Middlesex | APP/M5450/A/ 09/2096857 P/2620/08 /3951 $\qquad$ | RETENTION OF SINGLE STOREY SIDE TO REAR EXTENSION; DEMOLITION of garage | larrow on the H | Dismissed | 08.06.09 |  |  |
| Mr Sunil Jakharia 63 Kenmore Avenue Kenton Harrow HA3 8PA | APP/M5450/A /09/2097146 <br> P/3413/08 /3954 <br> NR | SINGLE STOREY FRONT, SINGLE/TWO STOREY SIDE AND REAR EXTENSION, EXTERNAL ALTERATIONS INCLUDING ACCESS RAMP AT FRONT. CONVERSION TO TWO FLATS AND ATTACHED DWELLINGHOUSE | Kenton West | Dismissed | 26.05.09 |  |  |

APPEALS DECISIONS APR-JUN 2009

APPEALS DECISIONS APR-JUN 2009

| Dr R De Silva <br> Flat 3 <br> 96 Elmsleigh Avenue Harrow | APP/M5450/A/ 09/2098364 P/3849/08/3961 ML | REAR DORMER AND ROOFLIGHT IN THE FRONT ROOFSLOPE | Kenton West | Dismissed | 26.05.09 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mr. V. Pau 49 Royston Park Road Hatch End HA5 4AB | $\begin{gathered} \text { APP/M5450/A/ } \\ \text { 09/2098510 } \\ \text { P/1722/08/3963 } \\ \text { KR } \end{gathered}$ | SINGLE STOREY REAR EXTENSION AND FRONT PORCH | Hatch End | Allowed | 27.04.09 |  |
| Mrs M. Hallianan 73 Peel Road Wealdstone Middlesex | APP/M5450/A/ 09/2098222 P/3795/08 /3964 LM | CONVERSION OF DWELLINGHOUSE INTO TWO FLATS | Marlborough | Dismissed | 09.06.09 |  |
| Mr J Martin 1 Cumberland Road Harrow HA1 4PH | $\begin{gathered} \text { P/3620/08/ } \\ 3969 \end{gathered}$ | SINGLE STOREY FRONT AND SIDE EXTENSION; ALTERATIONS TO ROOF TO FORM END GABLE AND REAR DORMER; EXTERNAL ALTERATIONS | Headstone South | Dismissed | 03.06.09 | Householder Fastrack Pilot Appeal |
| Aqua Roofing The Old Bakery Grange Court Grange Gardens | APP/M5450/A/09/209 7757 <br> P/3135/08/3962 <br> SB | FIRST FLOOR OVER EXISTING OFFICE BUILDING AND TWO STOREY SIDE EXTENSIONS; FRONT DORMERS X 2; EXTERNAL ALTERATIONS TO ADJACENT GARAGES AND CONTINUED USE AS COMMERCIAL STORAGE (AMENDED PLANS) | Pinner | Allowed | 10.06.09 |  |

APPEALS DECISIONS APR－JUN 2009

| Mr A Fazal <br> 68 Pinner Park Avenue <br> Harrow <br> Middlesex <br> HA2 6LF | $\begin{gathered} \text { P/3380/08 } \\ \text { /3953 } \\ \text { APP/M5450/A } \\ \text { /09/2096810 } \\ \text { SG } \end{gathered}$ | TWO STOREY DWELLINGHOUSE ATTACHED TO 68 PINNER PARK AVENUE；2．1M HIGH SIDE AND REAR BOUNDARY FENCES；NEW VEHICULAR ACCESS（OFF HOLMWOOD CLOSE）TO A PARKING SPACE FOR 68 PINNER PARK AVENUE；REAR PATIO TO NEW HOUSE | Headstone North | Allowed | 10．06．09 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| London Underground and Parkridge Developments <br> Former Travis Perkins 19 Pinner Road Harrow <br> （Ward：Headstone South） | $\begin{gathered} \text { P/0596/08 } \\ \text { /3904 } \\ \text { APP/M5450/A } \\ \text { /08/2087875 } \\ \text { DC } \end{gathered}$ | REDEVELOPMENT OF BUILDERS YARD：NINE STOREY BUILDING WITH BASEMENT COMPRISING A GROUND FLOOR CAFÉ／RESTURANT（CLASS A3 USE）， 3458 SQM SECOND FLOOR SAINBURY＇S FOOD STORE（CLASS A1 USE）WITH 220 RETAIL PARKING SPACES， 146 FLATS IN FOUR TO SIX STOREYS ABOVE etc | Headstone South | Allowed | 11．06．09 |  |
| Mr \＆Mrs Aminoff 12 Winscombe Way Stanmore HA7 3AU <br> （Ward：Stanmore Park） | APP／M5450／ 09／2096148 <br> P／3722／08 <br> ／3946 <br> NR | TWO STOREY SIDE，SINGLE AND TWO STOREY REAR EXTENSIONS WITH FIRST FLOOR JULIET BALCONY AT REAR | Stanmore Park | Allowed | 11．06．09 |  |

APPEALS DECISIONS APR-JUN 2009

| Mr \& Mrs Goremsandu 85A Whitchurch Lane Edgware Middlesex | $\begin{gathered} \text { ENF/956/05 } \\ \text { /P/3899 } \\ \text { APP/M5450/C } \\ \text { /08/2085225 } \\ \text { SSB } \end{gathered}$ | ENFORCEMENT APPEAL: SINGLE STOREY REAR EXTENSION | Canons | Dismissed | 17.06.09 | Full Cost award to LPA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mr R Karia <br> 'Turf Hills' <br> Priory Close <br> Stanmore <br> Middlesex <br> (Ward: Stanmore Park) | P/1451/08 $/ 3881$ APP/M5450/A/ $08 / 2083636$ ML | REPLACEMENT SINGLE/TWO STOREY HOUSE WITH ROOMS IN FRONT ROOFSPACE | Stanmore Park | Dismissed | 30.06.09 |  |  |
| Ms Ruth ChigwadaBailey 37 Nelson Road Stanmore HA7 4ES | P/2232/08/ 3966 APP/M5450/A/09/209 8536 NR | CONTINUED USE OF FORMER DWELLING HOUSE AS THREE FLATS, RETENTION OF SINGLE STOREY REAR EXTENSION | Stanmore Park | Part Allowed | 25.06.09 |  |  |
| Mr M Akhtar Knoll House Warren Lane Stanmore | $\begin{gathered} \text { P/2670/08 } \\ \text { /3916 } \\ \text { APP/M5450/A } \\ \text { /08/2089459 } \\ \text { NR } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | DEMOLITION OF EXISTING DWELLING HOUSE AND STABLE BLOCK, REPLACEMENT TWO STOREY DWELLING HOUSE | Canons | Dismissed | 30.06.09 |  |  |
| Mr Mark Skinner 95 Roxeth Green Avenue South Harrow | $\begin{gathered} \text { P/2642/08/ } \\ 3965 \\ \text { APP/M5450/A } \\ \text { /09/2097612 } \\ \text { OH } \end{gathered}$ | FIRST FLOOR FRONT TO SIDE AND SINGLE STOREY REAR EXTENSION TO FORM TWO FLATS WITH REAR DORMER AND EXTERNAL ALTERATIONS AND ROOF ALTERATIONS TO EXISTING HOUSE | Roxbourne | Dismissed | 22.06.09 |  |  |

APPEALS DECISIONS APR-JUN 2009

APPEALS DECISIONS APR-JUN 2009

| M Hussain <br> Side of 40 Spencer <br> Road <br> Harrow Weald <br> HA3 7AN | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{P} / 1951 / 08 \\ / 3923 \end{gathered}$ <br> APP/M5450/A/ 08/2090397 GL | RETENTION OF SINGLE/TWO STOREY SIDE EXTENSION PROVIDING AN ATTACHED DWELLING HOUSE; USE OF EXISTING HOUSE AS TWO FLATS; SINGLE-STOREY REAR EXTENSION | Harrow Weald | Allowed | 13.05.09 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mr Phil Smith <br> 10 Dearne Close <br> Stanmore <br> Middlesex <br> (Ward: Stanmore Park) | P/1384/08 $/ 3932$ APP/M5450/A /08/2091870 RD | SINGLE STOREY FRONT EXTENSION TO ATTACHED SIDE GARAGE. | Stanmore Park | Allowed | 13.05.09 |  |

APPEALS DECISIONS JUL-SEP 2009

| Appellant/Appeal Location/Procedure | LPA Ref: PINS Ref: Planner | Appeal Description | Ward | Decision | Date | Costs | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mr Peter Moe 2 Chestnut Drive Pinner HA5 1LY | $\begin{gathered} \text { P/2627/08/ } \\ 3975 \\ \\ \text { APP/M5450/A/09 } \\ \text { /2100252 } \\ \text { MT } \end{gathered}$ | ROOF EXTENSIONS TO PROVIDE HABITABLE ROOF SPACE INCLUDING RAISING OF RIDGE HEIGHT, PROJECTING REAR GABLE, SIDE GABLES AND A FRONT DORMER, EXTERNAL ALTERATIONS | Pinner South | Dismissed | 07.07.09 |  |  |
| Mr Andrew Dobinson 32 Latimer Gardens Pinner HA5 3RA | $\begin{gathered} \text { P/3275/08/ } \\ 3976 \end{gathered}$ | REPLACEMENT WINDOWS ON FRONT ELEVATION | Pinner | Dismissed | 07.07.09 |  |  |
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ENFORCEMENT GRID - June 2009

|  | Sent to Legal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date Report Sent | Enforcement Reference | Address | Ward | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Site } \\ \text { Officer } \end{array}$ | Date Reported | Notice Served | Appealed | Date for compliance | Complied | Breach, Progress And Comments |
| Feb 08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14/02/08 | 502/06 | 69 Winchester Road | Kenton East | SSB | 27/07/06 |  |  |  |  | Unauthorised front porch,single storey rear extension and conservatory Planning permission refused for the development (application reference P/3001/06 on 15 January 2007) Legal agreeing content of delegated report with planning <br> Iken ref - EC-003430 <br> Being reassessed under the current GPDO <br> Awaiting clearance of revisions to delegated report by Planning before sign off <br> 12/02/09-PCN served <br> 25/02/09 - PCN response being assessed |
| May 08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14/05/2008 | 181/07 | 55 Weston Drive | Belmont | SSB | 03/07/07 |  |  |  |  | Side boundary walls in rear garden In legal 27/10/08 EC-003955 <br> Awaiting clarification from Planning on delegated report |


| 21/05/08 | 0556/07 | 7 Wetheral Drive | Belmont | GW | 04/10/07 |  |  |  |  | Single storey front, single and two storey side and rear extensions 06/10/08 S78 appeal submitted 24/10/08 legal ref: EC-003984 Awaiting outcome of S78 appeal 27/01/09 section 78 dismissed. <br> 04.03.2009 - amended report sent to planning <br> First floor rear/side Extension partly removed following appeal decision. <br> Case being re-assessed <br> 06/05/09 - Further planning application received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21/05/08 | 0036/08 | 197 \& 199 Northolt Road | Harrow on the Hill | SSB | 17/01/08 |  |  |  |  | Use of part of ground floor of Nos. 197 and 199 Northolt road as retail (class A1) <br> Awaiting outcome of $S 78$ appeal 23/10/08 legal ref: EC-003982 <br> 09/12/08 - Appeal partly allowed - <br> 07/05/09 - PCN Served <br> 28/05/09 - PCN response being <br> assessed. |
| 21/05/08 | 0500/07 | 39 Waverley Road | Rayners Lane | GW | 21/09/07 |  |  |  |  | Single storey rear extension Legal Iken Ref - EC-003717 08/01/09 legal sort amendments and report being amended Awaiting clearance of revisions to delegated report before sign off |
| Sep 08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16/09/08 | 248/07 | 65 Bessborough Road | Greenhill | SSB | 30/07/07 |  |  |  |  | Unauthorised SSRE - on HOLD until Jan09 on plannings instructions 24/10/08 legal ref: EC-004020 <br> 23/04/09 - Photos sent to legal and informed to proceed to issue Enforcement 27/5/09 - Report amended and sent to Planning for further information |
| Oct-08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 06/10/08 | 72/07 | 12 Ashburnham Avenue, Harrow | Greenhill | PA | 03/04/07 |  |  |  |  | Roof height on existing two storey side to rear extension <br> 24/10/08 legal ref: EC-004016 <br> 09/12/08 Draft report sent to legal <br> 15/05/09 - Legal sort amendments, report being amended <br> 20/05/2009 - Report returned to Planning with final amendments and for further information. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06/10/08 | 163/08 | 90 Boxtree Lane | Harrow Weald | GW | 11/04/08 |  |  |  |  | Single storey front, side and rear extension <br> 24/10/08 legal ref: EC-004019 <br> Agreeing content of delegated report with planning. <br> On hold - owner given 3 month extension to rectify breach. <br> Planning with final amendments and for further information. |
| 08/10/08 | 573/08 | 4 Elm Park | Stanmore Park | SSB | 18/06/03 |  |  |  |  | Non-compliance with conditions 1,2,3,4,6,\&7 of planning permission P/343/07 DDP granted on appeal on 26 June(ref APP/M5450/A/07/2051212) Legal ref: EC-003944 agreeing content of delegated report with planning Report signed off by planning Planning to clarify whether Breach of Condition notice is to be served. |
| 08/10/08 | 249/08 | 40 Bedford Road | Headstone South | GW | 14/05/08 |  |  |  |  | Unauthorised conversion and use of the dwelling house as two flats Legal ref: EC-003941 <br> Agreeing content of report. <br> 16/02/09 - On hold pending outcome of section 78 appeal <br> 08/06/09-S78 appeal dismissed Report cleared by Legal and awaiting sign off by Planning <br> 24/06/09 - Amended report sent to legal |





| 24/04/09 | 124/07 | 95 Marsh Lane | Canons | PA | 01/06/09 |  |  |  |  | Unauthorised Deleopment 24/04/09 - Enf report sent to legal On hold pending outcome of section 78 appeal but report cleared by legal for sign off by Planning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24/04/09 | 575/08 | 392 Northolt Road | Roxeth | PA | 03/10/09 |  |  |  |  | Unauthorised front extension canopy 24/04/09 - Enf report sent to legal 15/05/09 - Amended Enf report sent back to legal <br> Report amended and returned to Planning |
| 24/04/09 | 128/08 | Starbucks Coffeee, 19-23 High Street | Pinner | SSB | 07/03/08 |  |  |  |  | Unauthorised use as Coffee Shop 24/04/09 - Enf report sent to legal Revised report expected from Planning dealing with issue of classification of current use and reasons for enforcement action. |
| 27/04/09 | 218/08 | 6 Hillview Close | Hatch End | PA | 01/05/08 |  |  |  |  | Rear extension and roof line 27/04/09 - Enf report sent to legal Report being considered by Legal |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14/05/09 | 645/08 | 31 Carlton Avenue | Kenton West | PA | 31/10/08 |  |  |  |  | Extensions being built without planning permission 14/05/09 - Enf report sent to legal 10/06/09 - Amended report sent to Planning |
| 22/05/09 | 276/09 | 145 High Street | Wealdstone | SSB | 19/05/09 |  |  |  |  | Unauthorised conversion into 7 flats 22/05/09 - Enf report sent to legal 11/06/09 - Amended report sent to Planning for consideration. |
| 22/05/09 | 246/09 | 23 Nolton Place | Edgware | SSB | 07/05/09 |  |  |  |  | Conversion of main dwelling to flats and outbuilding to 2 flats previous enforcement notice quashed 22/05/09 - Enf report sent to legal 10/06/09 - Amended Enf report sent back to legal <br> Report in the process of being cleared by legal. |


| 27/05/09 | 434/08 | 100 Uxbridge Road | Harrow Weald | PB | 01/08/08 |  |  |  |  | RETENTION OF 2M HIGH FRONT BOUNDARY WALL/FENCE AND ELECTRONIC SLIDING GATE, TOGETHER WITH ADDITIONAL 0.5M BALL DETAILS <br> 27/05/09 - Enf report sent to legal <br> 05/06/09 - Amended Enf report sent back <br> to legal <br> 12/06/09 - Further information sought fron Planning re report |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27/05/09 | 0061/08 | 36 Moss Lane | Pinner | PA | 13/02/08 |  |  |  |  | RETENTION OF ROOF EXTENSION AT FRONT <br> 27/05/09 - Enf report sent to legal <br> 10/06/09 - Amended Enf report sent back <br> to legal <br> Further information sought from Planning |
| Jun-09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03/06/09 | 950/05 | 51 Holmdene Avenue | Headstone North | PA | 19/02/08 |  |  |  |  | Unauthorised Single Storey Rear Extension (Conservatory) attached to the existing rear extension 03/06/09 - Enf report sent to legal Legal seeking further information from Planning |
| 09/06/09 | 381/08 | 26 Hallam Gardens | Hatch End | PA | 15/07/08 |  |  |  |  | Without planning permission construction of unauthorised single Storey rear Extension and driveway leading to the detached garage 09/06/09 - Enf report sent to legal Legal intending to seek further information from Planning |
| Jul-09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02/07/09 | 203/08 | 34 Shaftesbury Avenue | Harrow on the Hill | PB | 18/04/08 |  |  |  |  | Without planning permission, the material change of use of the side extension of the dwellinghouse on the Land to a self-contained studio flat and installation of side entrance door (the "Unauthorised Development") 02/07/09 - Enf report sent to legal |


| ENFORCEMENT GRID - June 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Check compliance - Notice Served |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enforcement Reference | Address | Ward | Site Officer | Date Reported | Notice Served | Appealed | Date for Compliance | Complied | Breach, Progress And Comments |
| 0594/07 | 34 Gordon Avenue | Stanmore Park | MM | 16/10/07 | Yes |  | 30/03/09 |  | Erection of single storey extension (approx 6 m wide by 3 m deep) on the forecourt of the dwelling <br> Notice served on 22/08/08 - Awaiting compliance <br> S78 appeal lodged - 15/10/08-Appeal dismissed |
| 669/07 | 29 Rayners lane | Roxbourne | BC | 26/11/07 | Yes |  | 05/12/08 |  | Unauthorised single side \& rear extension Notice served 25/7/08. S78 appeal lodged and awaiting decision 31/03/09 - Section 78 appeal dismissed Re-assessment required |
| 102/08 | 21 Landseer Close | Edgware | SSB | 25/02/08 | Yes |  | 04/05/09 |  | Unauthorised alteration/extension to roof incorporating raised roof over dwelling house and rear dormer (The Development) 06/11/08 Passed to Legal 17/10/08 revised rpt to legal(gw) Notice served 21/10/08 Site visit required |
| 662/06 | 1 Constable Gardens | Edgware | SSB | 20/07/06 | Yes |  | 05/04/09 |  | Unauthorised Single storey rear extension $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{p}$ refused <br> Legal ref: - EC-003957 <br> Notice served 24/11/08 <br> Site visit required |
| ENF/0362/08 | 2 Alicia Avenue | Kenton West | PA | 02/07/08 | Yes |  | 23/04/2009 |  | Continued use of detatched single storey outbuilding in rear garden as dwelling unit <br> Legal ref: EC-004067 <br> Report being signed off by planning <br> Notice Served 18/12/08 <br> Part complied further site visit required |
| 625/03 | Broomhill, Mount Park Road | Harrow on the Hill | GW |  | Yes |  | 03/02/09 |  | BREACH OF CONDITION: Gates 31/12/08 - Report cleared - sent to AP Notice served - 26/01/09 Site visit required |


| 0034/08 | 3 Aylwards Rise | Stanmore Park | SSB | 16/01/08 | Yes | 24/04/09 | Non-compliance with condition 2 of planning permission P/3088/06/DFU granted on appeal (ref APP/M5450/A/07/2039231 <br> Additional information included in report by planning. <br> Report signed off by planning <br> Notice served - 25/02/09 <br> Site visit required |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0167/07 | 66 Woodhall Gate, Pinner | Hatch End | LH | 03/07/07 | Yes | 31/07/09 | Unauthorised rooflights 06/11/08 <br> Legal Iken ref - EC-003416 Legal requested new format 27/11/08 Ammended report sent to legal 31/12/08 - Report cleared - sent to AP 05/01/09 - Report cleared by AP |
| 0077/08 | 31 Cannonbury Avenue | Pinner South | GW | 29/01/08 | Yes | 19/08/09 | rear conservatory <br> 28/10/08 - File with legal <br> 31/12/08 revised rpt sent to legal ref: EC- <br> 004021 <br> Draft enforcement report sent to legal 26/11/08 <br> S78 appeal has been lodged <br> Awaiting outcome of appeal. <br> 03/04/09 - Notice served |
| 514/07 | 41 The Drive | West Harrow | GW | 21/09/07 | Yes | 15/08/09 | Single storey side to rear extension, and conservatory <br> EC-004015 <br> 21/11/2008 amended rpt to legal <br> 14/01/09 Report signed off by planning. <br> 03/04/09 - Notice served |
| 757/08 | 8 Camrose Avenue | Edgware | PB | 31/12/08 | Yes | 20/08/09 | Unauthorised conversion of garage to self contained residential unit 03/02/09 - Enf report sent to legal Notice served on 16/04/09 |
| 0692/06 | 86 Uppingham Avenue | Queensbury | GW | 19/12/06 | Yes | 20/08/09 | Unauthorised conversion to 2 flats 20/01/09 - Enf report sent to legal 23/02/2008 amended rpt to legal Notice served on 22/04/08 |


| 0052/08 | 132 Turner Road | Queensbury | NR | 01/02/08 | Yes |  | 17/09/09 | Unauthorised use of the house as two flats <br> Legal Ref - EC003389 <br> Planning permission refused for the development (application reference P/2416/07 on 28 November 2007) Report sent to Planning for amendment. Chased: 27/11/2008 13/01/09 Final draft enforcement report sent to LH <br> 12/05/09 - Notice Served |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0380/07 | 12 Courtield Crescent | Greenhill | SSB | 13/09/07 | Yes |  | 07/11/09 | Unauthorised conversion and use of the dwelling house as four self-contained flats 27/10/08 file passed to legal - ref: EC-004001 Report with Planning for amendment. 07/04/09 - Report signed off by planning 28/05/09 - Notice served |
| 0035/09 | 82 Stanmore Hill | Stanmore Park | PB | 27/01/09 |  |  |  | Property being used as Special needs school <br> 30/06/09 - Enf report sent to legal Notice served - 03/07/09 |
| 956/05 | 85a Whitchurch Lane | Canons | SSB | 20/01/05 | Yes | Yes | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 16/09/2009 } \\ \text { (From appeal } \\ \text { decision) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | single storey rear extension Notice served on 05/08/08 Appeal lodged, Public inquiry 30/04/09 |


| ENFORCEMENT GRID - June 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Notice served - Appeal Lodged |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enforcement Reference | Address | Ward | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Site } \\ \text { Officer } \end{array}$ | Date Reported | Notice Served | Appealed | Date for compliance | Complied | Breach, Progress And Comments |
| 0056/08 | 43 High Street, Harrow | Harrow on the Hill | MRE | 01/02/08 | Yes | Yes | 25/04/2009 (from appeal decision) |  | Unauthorised cash machine. <br> Planning permission refused for the development (application reference P/3593/07 on 20 December 2007). Draft report prepared for delegation and passed to Legal. Notice served 25/7/08. <br> Appeal lodged <br> Appeal decision - 26/01/09 Notice varied and allowed in part site being monitored |
| 95/07 | Unit 3 Ballards Mews/High St. Edg | Edgware | GW | 09/05/07 | Yes | Yes | 23/04/2009 |  | Unauthorised two extract flues to workshop <br> Report being signed off by planning. <br> Notice served 17/12/08. <br> Appeal submitted - Awaiting appeal decision |
| 293/07 | 52 Adderley Road | Wealdstone | GW | 07/08/07 | Yes | Yes | 18/03/09 |  | Unauthorised single storey conservatory extension attached to the existing rear extension 28/10/08 - File with legal Notice served on 06/11/08 Appeal submitted - Awaiting appeal decision |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline & & & & & & & & \begin{array}{l}\text { Single storey side and rear } \\ \text { extension } \\ \text { Agreeing content of report with } \\ \text { planning. } \\ 31 / 12 / 08-R e p o r t ~ c l e a r e d ~-~ s e n t ~ t o ~\end{array} \\ \text { AP } \\ 05 / 01 / 09-R e p o r t ~ c l e a r e d ~ b y ~ A P ~ \\ \text { Notice served } 03 / 02 / 09 \\ \text { Appeal submitted - Awaiting appeal } \\ \text { decision }\end{array}\right]$
ENFORCEMENT GRID - June 2009

| PROSECUTION REQUIRED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enforcement Reference | Address | Ward | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Site } \\ \text { Officer } \end{array}$ | Date Reported | Notice Served | Appealed | Date for compliance | Complied | Breach, Progress And Comments |
| 339/01 | 78 Cecil Road | Wealdstone | GW | 14/08/01 | Yes | Yes | 07/09/03 |  | Building works in rear garden adj. to 80 Wellington Rd awaiting prosecution report |
| 480/02 | 9 West Drive Gardens | Harrow Weald | SSB | 21/08/02 | Yes | Yes | 21/01/04 |  | Roof alterations without planning permission <br> Notice to be served as soon as possible. Notice of appeal served. Appeal Dismissed Insp letter dated 20-Jun-03. Owners have offered to do works required, starting in Sept 2004. Site inspected in Sept 04, assessment required for prosecution |
| 317/03 | 154 Eastcote Lane, S/har | Roxbourne | SSB | 03/07/03 | Yes |  | 01/10/04 |  | Compliance with condition 8 Appeal received, appeal not valid. Section 78 dismissed, owners asked to provide details of timescale for compliance with notice. Agent looking into how to alter development to comply with notice. Prosecution report required |
| 441/03 | Mount Park Manor | Harrow on the Hill | GW | 28/07/03 | Yes | Yes |  |  | TELESCOPIC POOL COVER Reassesment required |


| 573/03 | 22 Walton Road | Marlborough | SSB | 07/10/03 | Yes |  | 28/02/06 | Unauthorised construction of a single storey rear extension and front porch. <br> Appeal fee not paid to the Planning Inspectorate, thus appeal not validated. Planning Inspectorate confirmed in letter dated 27 March 2006. Prosecution report required |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 94/04 | 190 Whittington Way | Pinner South | GW | 23/02/04 | Yes | Yes | 29/10/06 | SINGLE STOREY REAR EXTENSION ONTO SINGLE STOREY REAR EXTENSION Appeal withdrawn - reassesment required |
| 160/04 | 29 The Broadway | Wealdstone | GW | 15/03/04 |  |  |  | installation OF ADVERTISEMENT <br> Reassesment required |
| 425/04 | 61 Oxleay Road | Rayners Lane | SSB | 01/07/04 | Yes |  | 14/02/06 | Erection of rear extension and wall Section 330 notice served on 20-June-05. Enf notice issued. Case Officer visited on 7th September 2006, notice not complied with, letter sent advising owner of intention to commence prosecution proceedings. |
| 483/04 | 35 Orchard Grove, Edg | Edgware | GW | 08/07/04 | Yes | Yes | 04/07/06 | Change of use to flats Section 330 notice served on 6-July05. Section 330 Notices were returned unopened. Enforcement Notices issued. Appeal Submitted, awaiting outcome. Appeal withdrawn. Re- assessment required for prosecution |
| 619/04 | 462 Honeypot Lane | Queensbury | SSB | 25/08/04 | Yes |  | 13/09/07 | Unauthorised construction of a single storey rear extension Site visit required by Enforcement Officer. |


| 700/04 | 1 Wildcroft Gardens | Canons | SSB | 21/09/04 | Yes | No | 19/08/06 | REMOVAL OF BUSH ON PAVEMENT AND 4 PILLARS ON FRONT BOUNDARY AT OVER 2M HIGH. <br> Part complied - Further assesment required |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 989/04 | 56 Lake View | Canons | GW | 23/10/04 | Yes | Yes | 04/09/06 | Porch has been erected across the front gable <br> Appeal dismissed - needs reassesment |
| 24/05 | 81 Roxeth Hill | Harrow on the Hill | SSB | 06/05/06 | Yes |  | 18/07/06 | Erection of roof extension $A B / R D$ checking when roof was erected. Borough Solicitor preparing notice. Notice prepared. Planning Appeal part allowed (P/304/03/CFU).Enforcement Appeal Dismissed 18/10/05 with variation Re-assessment required |
| 519/05 | 32 Rusland Park Road | Marlborough | GW | 17/06/04 | Yes | Yes | 27/08/08 | Unauthorised construction of a two/three storey side to rear, single storey front to side extension and rear dormer Notice was withdrawn on 13 September 2007 and reissued on 13 September 2007. Awaiting appeal decision. Appeal dismissed prosecution required |
| 744/05 | 14 Roxeth Green Avenue | Roxbourne | SSB | 17/10/05 | Yes | Yes | 17/11/08 | Unauthorised construction of a rear extension <br> Appeal dismissed 18 August 2008. <br> Site visit and witness statement required |
| 370/06 | 399 Alexandra Avenue | Rayners Lane | PA | 02/05/06 |  |  |  | installation OF ADVERTISEMENT <br> Reassesment required |


| 401/06 | 76 Formby Avenue | Queensbury | SSB | 24/04/06 | Yes |  | 22/11/07 | Use of outbuilding as two selfcontained residential units Enforcement Officer visited the site. Breach still existing. Prosecution witness statement sent to legal. 11/006/09 - revised Enf witness statement sent back to legal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 459/07/P } \\ & \text { (reregistered) } \end{aligned}$ | 119 Eastcote Lane | Roxeth | SSB | 19/09/07 | Yes | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 23 / 06 / 2001 \\ \text { (original date) } \end{gathered}$ | Converted swimming pool enclosure at rear into 3 flats \& seperate additional unit created at the side of the property. awaiting preparation of prosecution report |
| 183/08 | 127 Ruskin Gardens | Kenton East | PA | 10/04/08 | Yes |  | 02/10/08 | Property being used as building yard <br> awaiting prosecution report |
| 0447/07/P | 10 Harrow View | Headstone South | SG | 16/05/07 | Yes |  | 09/06/09 | Additional single storey rear extension <br> 31/12/08 - Report cleared - sent to AP <br> 05/01/09 - Report cleared by AP Notice served 03/02/09 |
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| Meeting: | Planning Committee |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date: | 22 July 2009 |
| Subject: | $13-17$ Manor Road, Harrow, HA1 2NZ |
| Responsible Officer: | Stephen Kelly, Divisional Director of <br> Planning |
| Portfolio Holder: | Councillor Marilyn Ashton - Portfolio <br> Holder for Planning, Development and <br> Enterprise |
| Exempt: | No |
| Enclosures: | Nil |

## Section 1 - Summary and Recommendations

This report relates to the unauthorised erection of a bock of 15 flats built in breach of planning control.

## Recommendations:

The Committee is requested to:
Having regard to the provisions of the Unitary Development Plan and all other material planning considerations (in accordance with Section 172 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended), to authorise the Director of Legal and Governance Services to:
(1) Take all necessary steps for the preparation, issue and service of an Enforcement Notice (including the service of any s330 Town and Country Planning Act notice necessary to facilitate the service of the enforcement notice itself) with the following requirements:-
(A) Cease the use of the unauthorised flat (no. 15) at second floor level and remove all internal partitions and installations that enable its use


## Section 2 - Report

## Background

Planning permission (P/2889/04) was granted on appeal on 4 October 2005 (appeal ref: App/M5450/A/05/1179291) for a block of 14 flats at 13-17 Manor Road, Harrow. However, following investigations into an alleged breach of planning control, it appears that the development has not been built in accordance with the approved plans because, as built, the block contains 15 flats and not the 14 for which permission was granted. Officers have attempted to secure the regularisation of the breach of planning control, but to date all efforts have failed. Having assessed the harm being caused as a result of the breach, it is considered that it is expedient to initiate formal enforcement action.

The development is contrary to London Plan policy 3A.8, 3A. 9 and 3A. 10 concerning the provision of affordable housing. In addition, the changes to the internal layout in particular the omission of the lift have led to non-compliance the Lifetime Homes Standards as noted in the adopted supplementary planning document which amplifies the former Harrow Unitary Development Plan (UDP) policy H18 and London Plan policy 3A5.

## Site and Surroundings

The site is situated at the junction of Manor Road and Bonnersfied Lane within a predominantly residential area. The site was previously occupied by a pair of semi-detached houses and a detached house, which were demolished and replaced by a 2 / 3 storey residential block.

## Breach of Planning Control

The breach of planning control can be summarised as "without planning permission the construction of block of 15 flats".

Planning permission for a block of 14 flats was granted on appeal on 4 October 2005.

As approved, the plan drawings showed the following breakdown of flats on each floor:

| Ground Floor: | $5 \times 2$ bed |
| :--- | :--- |
| First Floor: | $5 \times 2$ bed |
| Second Floor: | $1 \times 1$ bed and $3 \times 2$ bed |
| Total | 14 flats $(1 \times 1$ bed, $13 \times 2$ bed $)$ |

However, as built the block contains a total of 15 flats in the following breakdown:

Ground Floor: $5 \times 2$ bed
First Floor: $\quad 5 \times 2$ bed
Second Floor: $2 \times 1$ bed, $3 \times 2$ bed flats
Total $\quad 15$ flats $(2 \times 1$ bed, $13 \times 2$ bed $)$
As a result of the provision of the unauthorised additional flat internal alterations within the building have resulted in the provision of smaller flats at second floor level and an internal lift proposed in the approved scheme has not been provided.

The development has not therefore been carried out in accordance with the approved drawings and this amounts to a breach of planning control.

## Planning policy

The following policies of the Harrow Unitary development Plan and :London Plan are relevant to the development:-

D4 - Standard of Design and Layout
D5 - New Residential development - Amenity Space and Privacy
Supplementary Planning Document - Access for All
Draft Supplementary Planning Document - Accessible Homes
London Plan
3A. 5 - Housing Choice
3A. 6 - Quality of new housing provision
3A. 8 - Definition of Affordable Housing
3A. 9 - Affordable Housing Targets
3A. 10 - Negotiating Affordable Housing in individual private residential and mixed use schemes
3A. 11 - Affordable Housing thresholds

## Assessment of Harm

## Affordable Housing

The construction of 15 units within a building for 14 units allowed on appeal is considered to represent an attempt to carry out development whilst not providing affordable housing. At the time of the appeal decision Harrow UDP

Policy H5 provided that the Council would seek the maximum reasonable proportion of affordable housing on suitable sites of either 0.5 hectares or more or on developments of 15 or more dwellings. On the basis that 14 units were to be provided, no affordable housing contribution was sought or made. Had the applicant sought permission for 15 units as now constructed then a contribution would have been looked for under the policy.

It is a relevant factor to the assessment of harm that between the date of the appeal decision on 4 October 2005 and the date of this report the Council's planning policy in respect of affordable housing has changed. Harrow UDP Policy H5 was not one of those saved by the Secretary of State's direction on 28 September 2007. Since this time the Council has relied upon the London Plan for its affordable housing policy. The current London Plan Policy 3A. 11 provides that the threshold for the provision of affordable housing is 10 units.

As a result of the breach of planning control therefore, the Council has lost an opportunity to provide 7 units of affordable residential units much needed within the Borough.

## Internal Lift

In respect of the omission of the lift, at the date of the appeal decision the standard for design and layout was controlled by Harrow UDP policy H18 in respect of lifetime homes which aims to encourage new housing developments to be accessible to all and this is endorsed by London Plan policy 3A. 5 stating that all new housing is built to "Lifetime Homes" standards.

As with Harrow UDP Policy H5, this was not saved under the Secretary of State's direction and the Council now relies upon London Plan policy 3A. 5 together with the Council's Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) entitled "Access for All" and draft SPD entitled "Accessible Homes". There are altogether 16 standards for designing Lifetime Homes providing detailed guidance to design new residential developments. The addition of a further flat created by the omission of the lift and alterations to the approved flats at second floor level have resulted in the flats being relatively smaller than the approved flats at second floor level. As a consequence the flats do not comply with the "Lifetime Homes" standard which results in material harm to the amenity of occupiers.

Officers do not consider that planning permission would be granted for the development as existing, because planning conditions could not overcome the objections to the development.

## Expediency

The enforcement of planning control is a discretionary power for the local planning authority. In order for an enforcement notice to be served, not only does there have to be a breach of planning control but it must also be expedient for to issue an enforcement notice, having regard to the provisions of the development plan and to any other material considerations.

In this case, expediency has been assessed with regard to the statutory Development Plan, which for the Borough consists of the saved UDP policies and the London Plan 2008. The expediency of enforcement action has also
been assessed with reference to guidance contained in PPG18 and Circular 10/97 both entitled 'Enforcing Planning Control'.

The development is contrary to policies of the London Plan and results in the shortage of affordable housing and housing built in accordance with the lifetime homes standards in the borough being exacerbated without justification. In view of this enforcement action is considered expedient and justifiable in this case

## Other Relevant Information

In April 2008, the developer made a retrospective planning permission (ref: P/1189/08) for the additional flat built as part of the development. However, as the Council took the view that the entirety of the development was unauthorised that application was returned to the owner as invalid as it only dealt with the additional flat. The owner lodged an appeal against this decision. On 12 December 2008 the Planning inspectorate refused to acknowledge the appeal on the ground that the built block amounts to a new scheme so the application should have been made for the 15 flats.

Fourteen of the flats have now been sold and are occupied.
In May 2009, the developer made a further retrospective application for retention of the existing building including an existing flat. The application is currently invalid awaiting further information about renewable energy, sustainability and lifetime homes issues etc. at the time of drafting this report.

The Planning Service has kept the occupiers of the flats informed of the situation, since if enforcement action is authorised, the enforcement notice is required to be served on all persons holding a legal interest in the property.

## Human Rights

The Human Rights Act 1998 (the Act) has incorporated part of the European Convention on Human Rights into English law, Section 6 states that it is unlawful for any public authority to act in a way which is incompatible with any Convention right. The conclusion reached in this report has been made having had full regard to the human rights of those likely to be affected. The decision to issue an enforcement notice in this instance is in accordance with the law, serves a legitimate aim (namely the preservation of the environment in the wider public interest) and is necessary and proportionate in all the circumstances. It is therefore considered that the recommendation is compatible with the Act.

The recipient of an enforcement notice can, in any event, appeal against it to the Planning Inspectorate under Section 174 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 on one of six grounds, including that planning permission ought to be granted, that the alleged breach of planning control has not occurred, that the requirements of the notice exceed what is necessary to remedy the breach of planning control and that period specified in the notice for compliance is too short.

## Legal implications

Section 172 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 provides that the Council may issue an enforcement notice where it appears to them that there has been a breach of planning control and that it is expedient to issue the notice, having regard to the provisions of the development plan and to any other material considerations.

The enforcement notice must specify the matters alleged to constitute a breach of planning control and must specify the steps the Council requires to be taken in order to remedy the breach.

A person having an interest in the land to which an enforcement notice relates, may appeal against the enforcement notice, and the effect of the enforcement notice will then be suspended until the appeal has been determined.

## Financial Implications

In the event of the Council serving an enforcement notice, the owner would be entitled to lodge an appeal against the enforcement notice. Potential appeal may be dealt with in house. If the matter went to a Public Inquiry, there may be additional legal costs. Furthermore, if a planning inspector determining the appeal, found that that Council acted unreasonably, then the Council may be required to pay the appellant's costs for which there is no budget provision.

## Section 3 - Statutory Officer Clearance

| Name: Sheela Thakrar | $\boxed{V}$ | on behalf of the* <br> Chief Financial Officer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Date: 10 July 2009 |  |  |
| Name: Izindi Visagie | $\boxed{V}$ | on behalf of the* <br> Monitoring Officer |
| Date: 10 July 2009 |  |  |

## Section 4 - Contact Details and Background Papers

Contact: Sucha Singh Basuta Senior
Professional Development Management /
Enforcement (Tel: 0208736 6169)

## Background Papers

London Plan

Unitary Development Plan
Supplementary Planning Document - Access for All
Supplementary Planning Document - Accessible Homes
If appropriate, does the report include the following considerations?

| 1. | Consultation | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. | Corporate Priorities | NO |
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## Harroutouncil

LONDON

| Committee: | Planning Committee |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date: | 22 July 2009 |
| Subject: | INFORMATION REPORT - Urgent Non- <br> Executive Decision: Wood Farm, Wood <br> Lane, Stanmore |
| Responsible Officer: | Hugh Peart - Director of Legal and <br> Governance Services |
| Portfolio Holder: | Councillor Marilyn Ashton - Portfolio Holder <br> for Planning, Development and Enterprise |
| Exempt: | No |
| Enclosures: | None. |

## Section 1 - Summary

The Urgent Non-Executive Decision procedure, set out in Part 3 of the Council's Constitution, requires all decisions taken under the procedure to be reported to the appropriate Committee. The Committee is requested to note the action taken under the Urgent Non-Executive Decision procedure, as outlined in Section 2 below.

FOR INFORMATION

## Section 2 - Report

## CIRCUMSTANCES

2.1 On October 2008, the Strategic Planning Committee ("the Committee") considered an application from C P Holdings Limited for the demolition of buildings, construction of 10 dwellings, refurbishment of house and dairy and associated entrances, roadways and landscape works and change of use of farm to country park / open space at Wood Farm, Wood Lane, Stanmore (ref: P/2203/06).
2.2 The Committee resolved to grant planning permission, subject to the prior completion of a Section 106 agreement, dealing with seven separate Heads of Terms within one year from the date of decision and subject to conditions.

### 2.3 The second Head of Term provided that:

"The Council shall be indemnified against any claims against it arising from the provisions of the agreement".

During negotiations of the Agreement, it was considered that the Head of Term as originally proposed was too wide and the applicant could be liable for more than they intended. It was agreed that the applicant would only be liable for claims made by trespassers for injury during works to the park and not any claim as this was considered to be too wide. The applicant was proposing a variation to this Head of Term to the effect that any third party engaged by the applicant to carry out any of the works on the extension to the Country Park, shall have in place, prior to commencing such works, public liability insurance with a minimum cover of £5 million. The applicant would also covenant to use reasonable endeavours to procure that the Council have the benefit of any collateral warranties provided by such third parties.

It was considered that the proposed variation was acceptable given the importance of the scheme to the Council and provided satisfactory indemnity to the Council from the risk of trespassers on the land.
2.4 Sub paragraph $b$ of the seventh Head of Term provided for the:
"Provision of pathways and bridleways as shown on Plan Ref No 1291/200 and 1291/61 within the area as defined as the extension to the Stanmore Country Park".

It had now come to light that there were no bridleways on the plans referred to in the Head of Term or in any of the drawings submitted to the Council as part of the planning application. Officers were satisfied that it was not it was intention of the applicant to provide bridleways as part of
the scheme. Accordingly the requirement did not serve a genuine planning purpose and deletion was considered acceptable.

## ACTION SOUGHT

1. To vary the second section 106 Head of Term referred to in the officer report to the Strategic Planning Committee of 2 October 2008 which required the applicant to indemnify the Council against claims arising from the provisions of the Agreement and replace with the following:
"To procure that any party engaged to carry out any of the works relating to the Stanmore Country Park extension shall have in place, prior to commencement of the works, public liability insurance with a minimum cover of five million pounds $(£ 5,000,000)$ and to use all reasonable endeavours to procure that the Council's interest is noted on the insurance policy".
2. Delete the reference to 'bridleways' in sub paragraph b of the seventh Head of Term in the officer report to the Strategic Planning Committee of 2 October 2008.

Date of Request for Action: 16 June 2009
Reason for Urgency: The public inquiry for the called in application commenced on 23 June 2009. The Section 106 agreement needed to be completed before the inquiry opened.

As the next Planning Committee was not until 24 June 2009, nominated Members were requested to agree the action proposed in order to complete the Agreement within the timescales for the inquiry.

Decision: Officer Recommendation agreed.

## Section 3 - Further Information

None.

## Section 4 - Financial Implications

Name: Sheela Thakrar<br>X On behalf of the Chief Financial Officer

Date: 15 June 2009

## Section 5 - Contact Details and Background Papers

Contact: Vishal Seegoolam, Senior Democratic Services Officer, 02084241883

Background Papers: Individual Urgent Non-Executive Decision Form, as reported.

## (Harroutouncil

LONDON

| Committee: | Planning Committee |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date: | 22 July 2009 |
| Subject: | INFORMATION REPORT - Urgent Non- <br> Executive Decision: Lowlands Road <br> Recreation Ground |
| Responsible Officer: | Hugh Peart - Director of Legal and <br> Governance Services |
| Portfolio Holder: | Councillor Marilyn Ashton - Portfolio Holder <br> for Planning, Development and Enterprise |
| Exempt: | No |
| Enclosures: | None. |

## Section 1 - Summary

The Urgent Non-Executive Decision procedure, set out in Part 3 of the Council's Constitution, requires all decisions taken under the procedure to be reported to the appropriate Committee. The Committee is requested to note the action taken under the Urgent Non-Executive Decision procedure, as outlined in Section 2 below.

FOR INFORMATION

## Section 2 - Report

## CIRCUMSTANCES

2.1 On 14 May 2008 and 14 January 2009, the Strategic Planning Committee considered an application from Harrow College for its redevelopment in an 8 to 10 storey building on land at Lowlands Road Recreation Ground (ref: P/0707/08) including the replacement and reconfiguration of 0.97 hectares of Metropolitan Open Land and the creation of a new access at Station Approach.
2.2 On 14 January 2009, the Committee resolved to grant planning permission, subject to the prior completion of a Section 106 agreement, dealing with fourteen separate matters, within one year from the date of that decision and subject to conditions.
2.3 Proposed condition 7 in the Strategic Planning Committee resolution of 14 January 2009, provided:


#### Abstract

The access carriageway that forms the current principle (sic) access to the College shall be constructed to base course in accordance with the specification and levels agreed before works commence on the building(s) hereby permitted, and the carriageways and footways completed before any building is occupied in accordance with details to be submitted to, and approved by, the local planning authority. The development shall thereafter be retained. REASON: To ensure that the traffic generated by the building operations will not interfere with the free flow of traffic on the public highway and that the road and footway shall be of an adequate specification for the anticipated traffic.


Condition 7 was both inaccurate and superfluous. The "current principal access to the College" was unrelated to the proposed College development and would, in fact, be closed on completion of the replacement College building, and then brought within the extended Metropolitan Open Land. Provision for this would be secured by condition. In terms of access to the new College, this would be provided from Station Approach and land currently used by London Underground as a surface car park. No new access carriageway would be formed.

Improvements to Station Approach were being addressed separately in the legal agreement and would require completion of those works prior to the occupation of the new college. The proposed condition did not serve a genuine planning purpose and deletion was considered acceptable.
2.4 Proposed condition 19 in the Strategic Planning Committee resolution of $14^{\text {th }}$ January 2009 provided:

Development shall not proceed beyond ground level damp proof course until details of a scheme for generating $20 \%$ of the predicted energy requirement of the development from on-site renewable resources have been submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority.
REASON: To ensure the development satisfactory level of renewable energy.

In addition, the agreed heads of terms for the legal agreement included a provision relating to renewable energy and required "The College to covenant that at least $20 \%$ of the energy used in the development will be generated using renewable energy resources and low carbon technologies".

The head of term in respect of renewable energy, and condition 19 of the list of conditions agreed at the $10^{\text {th }}$ January 2009 Strategic Planning Committee, both dealt with target levels for energy generation to be provided from on-site renewable sources. The College's energy strategy, however, which was set out in the body of the January Committee report, had a different objective, namely to ensure a reduction in carbon dioxide emissions, to be achieved through the use of on site renewable energy sources and passive and energy efficient design measures. This strategy followed a set of principles agreed with officers, and with the GLA.

The S106 agreement had been drafted on this basis, providing for a reduction in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions of between $21 \%$ and $33 \%$ through a combination of passive design, energy efficiency measures, connection to a Combined Heat and Power system and ground source heat pumps. The level actually achieved would depend on whether the College development was actually linked to the energy system in the proposed Dandara scheme, or to other potential development on the Lowlands Road site, should they go ahead. The agreement as currently drafted, however, was inconsistent with the agreed head of term and with Condition 19 which, in essence, sought to meet an entirely different target.

It is clear that the aim of the London Plan was to ensure that development met target reductions for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions through the use of passive and energy efficient design measures and on site renewable energy sources as opposed to achieving a specified level of on site renewable energy generation. The College's energy strategy had been prepared on this basis, and planning policy requirements would be secured through the S106 agreement. There was no policy basis for setting a $20 \%$ target for energy generation from on site renewable sources as set out in Condition 19 and in the head of term in the form agreed by Committee in January 2009.

The deletion of Condition 19 and the variation to the renewable energy had of term as proposed was therefore recommended.

### 2.5 The head of term relating to Lowlands Recreation Ground stated:

The College to lay out the remodelled Lowlands Recreation Ground to a specification to be agreed with the Council, and to contribute $£ 20,000$ towards future maintenance for period of 10 years.

The head of term was ambiguous and had been interpreted differently by the College and Council officers. The College had understood that it required a total contribution of $£ 20,000$; officers that it required a contribution of $£ 20,000$ per year for 10 years. The College considered that to require payment of $£ 200,000$ was excessive and had requested that the Council reconsider.

Maintaining the remodelled recreation ground to a high standard was an important objective, given its Metropolitan Open Land classification and value in framing the proposed College development. It was recognised however that the wording of the head of term was ambiguous and may not have been accepted by the College prior to the application being determined by Committee had they appreciated the Council's interpretation, and the extent of their potential maintenance liability. Officers had reviewed the maintenance requirements and considered that a single commuted payment of $£ 100,000$ would provide an appropriate and proportionate contribution to future maintenance costs, well in excess of that currently available for the management for Lowlands Recreation Ground.

As such, the suggested variation was considered acceptable.

## ACTION SOUGHT

To amend the decision of the Strategic Planning Committee dated 14 January 2009, in respect of the proposed Harrow College development, as follows

1. Delete conditions 7 and 19.
2. Delete Head of Term in relating to the Lowlands Recreation Ground and replace it with the following:

Lowland Recreation Ground: The College to lay out the remodelled Lowlands Recreation Ground to the specification agreed with the Council and to make a single commuted payment of $£ 100,000$ towards future maintenance, to be paid one month after the completion of the remodelled open space
3. Delete Head of Term in respect of Renewable Energy and replace it with the following:

Mitigating Climate Change: A detailed scheme to achieve a $20 \%$ reduction in carbon dioxide emissions as measured against the 2006 Part L Building

Regulations baseline target emission rate in Regulated Carbon Dioxide emissions or such other criteria as shall have been previously agreed by the Local Planning Authority

## Date of Request for Action: 15 June 2009

Reason for Urgency: The Learning and Skills Council had indicated that capital funding may be made available for those colleges ready to commence development, and had invited Colleges to submit appropriate evidence before the end of May, to enable a funding decision to be taken in early June. Harrow College would be able to start building if, amongst other things, they could complete the S106 agreement and secure planning permission. Negotiations to complete agreement had been finalised and all parties - the College, the Council, and London Underground - were now in a position to sign.

The next available meeting of the Planning Committee was not until 24 June 2009. As such, it would not be possible to vary the conditions and legal agreement head of term, as proposed above, within the timescales imposed by the Learning and Skills Council.

Decision: Officer Recommendation agreed.

## Section 3 - Further Information

None.

## Section 4 - Financial Implications

The renegotiated proposal gave the Council a single commuted payment of $£ 100,000$ as contribution towards future maintenance costs management for Lowlands Recreation Ground which was covered in the body of the report.

|  | On behalf of the Chief <br> Financial Officer |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Name: Sheela Thakrar | X |  |
| Date: 15 June 2009 |  |  |

## Section 5 - Contact Details and Background Papers

Contact: Vishal Seegoolam, Senior Democratic Services Officer, 02084241883

Background Papers: Individual Urgent Non-Executive Decision Form, as reported.

